

**STATE OF WISCONSIN  
SAFE DRINKING WATER  
LOAN PROGRAM  
ANNUAL REPORT**

**COVERING  
STATE FISCAL YEAR  
2019**

**October 2019**



**Prepared by Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources  
Bureau of Community Financial Assistance  
For U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region 5**

**Safe Drinking Water Loan Program Annual Report – SFY 2019**  
**Table of Contents**

I.	Introduction .....	1
II.	Program Description .....	1
III.	State Fiscal Year 2019 in Review .....	2
	A. Application for Capitalization Grant .....	2
	B. Grant Drawdowns .....	2
	C. Intended Uses of the Funds .....	2
	D. Leveraging of the SDWLP .....	2
	E. Loan Recipient Information .....	3
	F. Regular Projects Funded During SFY 2019 .....	3
	G. Private Lead Service Line Replacement Program .....	3
	H. Implementation of Requirements Contained in FFY 2018 Appropriations Act .....	4
	I. Status of Additional Subsidization from FFY 2010-2018 Grants .....	4
	J. Green Project Reserve Funding .....	4
	K. Federal Reporting .....	5
IV.	Set-Asides .....	5
	A. Administration .....	5
	B. Wellhead Protection .....	5
	C. Local Assistance as Part of a Capacity Development Strategy .....	5
	D. Small Systems Technical Assistance .....	6
	E. State Program Management .....	6
V.	Meeting Program Goals & Objectives .....	6
	A. Intended Use Plan Goals .....	6
	B. Compliance with Grant Conditions from FFY 2018 Capitalization Grant .....	12
	C. Disadvantaged Business Utilization .....	13
VI.	Financial Health of the Drinking Water SRF .....	14

Table 1: Status of SRF Draws/Disbursements as of 6/30/19

Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information – All Years

Table 3: Project Information – SFY 2019

Table 4: Set-Aside Accounts Status as of 6/30/19

Table 5: Balance of Federal Capitalization Grant Funds as of 6/30/19

Table 6: Other Funds Available in the DWSRF

Table 7: Additional Subsidy or GPR for Wisconsin – 2017 Cap Grant

Table 8: Additional Subsidy or GPR for Wisconsin – 2018 Cap Grant

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996 (Public Law 104-182) were signed into law on August 6, 1996. Section 1452 of this Act authorized the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to establish the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) program. The DWSRF was established to assist public water systems with financing the costs of infrastructure needed to achieve and maintain compliance with the requirements of the SDWA and to protect public health. States were also authorized to set aside a portion of their capitalization grants to fund a variety of activities including source water assessments, capacity development, operator certification, and technical assistance to small communities.

Section 1452(g)(4) of the SDWA requires that each state prepare a report which includes detailed financial information, identifies the uses of the loan funds and the various set-aside accounts, and describes how those funds support the goals established for the program. This document comprises the State of Wisconsin's Safe Drinking Water Loan Program Annual Report for State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2019. Assurances and specific proposals for meeting federal requirements are provided in the Operating Agreement between the State and EPA.

There are eight tables attached to this report. Table 1 shows loan disbursements for SFY 2019 and cumulative SRF draws. Table 2 shows loan information for all projects funded by the program through June 30, 2019. Table 3 gives further project information for each project funded during SFY 2019. Table 4 shows the status of the various set-aside accounts as of June 30, 2019. Table 5 shows the balance of the capitalization grants as of June 30, 2019, and Table 6 shows other funds available in the DWSRF. Tables 7 and 8 show the additional subsidy provided, by capitalization grant, as well as projects or portions of projects that were counted towards the green project reserve. A detailed financial report for the Environmental Improvement Fund is provided separately.

## II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

From its inception through SFY 2019, the Safe Drinking Water Loan Program (SDWLP) has operated as a direct loan program. Proceeds from general obligation bonds issued by the state have provided the state match (equal to 20% of the capitalization grant). The program is jointly administered by the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and the Department of Administration (DOA) as a program under the Environmental Improvement Fund (EIF).

The SDWLP offers loans at a subsidized interest rate of 55% of the State's market rate. Loans to small disadvantaged communities are at 33% of the State's market rate. The State's market rate is defined as the effective interest rate, as determined by DOA, of a fixed-rate revenue obligation issued by the State to fund loans under the EIF, or a DOA estimate of the interest rate that the State would pay to issue such an obligation. For SFY 2019, the State's market rate started at 3.40% in July 2018 and increased to 3.60% in January 2019. All SDWLP loans issued from the beginning of the program through SFY 2019 were required to mature no later than 20 years from the date of the first loan disbursement to the community.

A local governmental unit with a public water system may receive financial assistance for the following types of projects:

- a) To address SDWA health standards that have been exceeded or to prevent future violations of existing rules. These include projects to maintain compliance with existing regulations for contaminants with acute health effects and regulations for contaminants with chronic health effects.
- b) To replace infrastructure, if necessary to maintain compliance or further the public health protection goals of the SDWA. These would include projects with any of the following purposes:
  1. To rehabilitate or develop sources, excluding reservoirs, dams, dam rehabilitation and water rights, to replace contaminated sources;
  2. To install or upgrade treatment facilities if, in the DNR's opinion, the project would improve the quality of drinking water to comply with primary or secondary drinking water standards;
  3. To install or upgrade storage facilities, including finished water reservoirs, to prevent microbiological contaminants from entering the public water system;
  4. To install or replace transmission and distribution pipes to prevent contamination caused by leaks or breaks in the pipe, or improve water pressure to safe levels.

- c) To consolidate water supplies. For example, when individual homes or other public water supplies have a water supply that is contaminated, or the system is unable to maintain compliance for financial or managerial reasons. Expenses associated with consolidation that would be eligible for funding include costs such as legal fees and water buy-in fees.
- d) To purchase a portion of another public water system's capacity if it is the most cost-effective solution.
- e) To restructure a public water system that is in noncompliance with SDWA requirements or lacks the technical, managerial and financial capability to maintain the system if the assistance will ensure that the system will return to and maintain compliance with SDWA requirements.
- f) To create a new community water system or expand an existing community water system that, upon completion, will address existing public health problems with serious risks caused by unsafe drinking water provided by individual wells or surface water sources.

**III. STATE FISCAL YEAR 2019 IN REVIEW**

**A. Application for Capitalization Grant**

The State's application package for the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2018 Capitalization Grant was submitted to EPA on July 10, 2018. The grant was awarded by EPA on September 12, 2018.

**B. Grant Drawdowns**

On June 30, 2019, at the close of the state fiscal year, there was \$3,263,521 in set-aside funds remaining in the DWSRF from the FFY 2018 capitalization grant and \$242,790 in set-aside funds remaining from the FFY 2017 capitalization grant. An additional \$35,323 in set-aside expenditures attributable to the FFY 2017 capitalization grant and \$1,992,704 in set-aside expenditures attributable to the FFY 2018 capitalization grant had been incurred but not yet drawn.

**C. Intended Uses of the Funds**

Wisconsin's SDWLP Intended Use Plan (IUP) for the SFY 2019 funding cycle identified the uses of the funds as follows:

FFY 2018 Capitalization Grant	\$18,931,000
State Match	\$3,786,200
Other Funds Available in the SRF	<u>\$64,200,738</u>
Total Available for SFY 2019	\$86,917,938

Set-aside Amounts:

Administration	\$1,028,865
Wellhead Protection	\$396,419
Technical Assistance	\$235,080
Local Assistance	\$1,211,301
State Program Management	<u>\$2,228,655</u>
Total Amount of Set-asides	\$5,100,320

Principal Forgiveness	\$4,863,098	(Includes \$1,076,898 in PF from SFY 2018)
Subsidized Loans	\$76,954,520	

**D. Leveraging of the SDWLP**

The 2019-2021 Biennial Budget included the authority to issue revenue bonds for the SDWLP. These revenue bonds will be issued under the EIF Revenue Bond Program created by the State in 2015. At the time the EIF Revenue Bond Program was created, the State worked extensively with EPA to ensure the proposed EIF Revenue Bond Program addressed federal requirements for both the

SDWLP and the Clean Water Fund Program (CWFP). The Program Resolution for the EIF Revenue Bond Program includes provisions for the issuance of SDWLP revenue bonds and the tracking of those proceeds and repayments.

The State has recategorized existing revenue bond proceeds to fund the state match for SFY 2020. The State will continue issuing revenue bonds for the required state match on the annual Capitalization Grant for the SDWLP. The State will also fund additional SDWLP projects through leveraging the SDWLP, similar to the current structure of the CWFP.

In addition to leveraging the SDWLP, the 2019-2021 Biennial Budget also included the authority for SDWLP loans to mature up to 30 years after the expected date of completion of the projects they fund.

#### **E. Loan Recipient Information**

Table 1 shows SDWLP project disbursements of \$51,928,449 for SFY 2019. Capitalization grant disbursements totaled \$13,830,680 as loan proceeds; state match disbursements totaled \$3,786,200; interest earnings disbursements totaled \$4,832,080; and \$29,479,489 was disbursed from repayments (\$12,150,040 as principal forgiveness and \$17,329,449 as loan proceeds).

Table 2 gives loan information for all projects funded from the SDWLP from the beginning of the program through June 30, 2019. It lists each project's priority score, binding commitment date, total project costs, loan amount, loan interest rate, and the state fiscal year in which the project was funded.

Table 3 gives additional project-related information for all projects that received loans during SFY 2019. This includes the breakdown between loan and principal forgiveness, project description, population of the municipality, county in which it is located, whether the municipality was considered a disadvantaged community, the federal fiscal year the grant requirements apply to, and the construction start and completion dates. Tables 7 and 8 were generated by the Project Benefits Reporting (PBR) database and show the additional subsidy provided and green project funding by capitalization grant for the FFY 2017 and 2018 grants.

#### **F. Regular Projects Funded During SFY 2019**

Thirty-six complete SDWLP applications totaling \$62,581,109 in requested costs were received by the June 30, 2018 application deadline. In addition, two supplemental applications totaling \$22,067,806 in requested costs were submitted after the deadline. Six of the initial applications and one of the supplemental applications were subsequently withdrawn.

Twenty-seven loans and one amendment totaling \$54,439,805 were made during SFY 2019. (Note that this total does not match the number shown in Table 2 as the amounts in the table have been adjusted for closeout reductions.) Thirteen of these agreements included principal forgiveness (\$4,381,703) and three included green components (totaling \$649,000). Three of the loans were for projects designated as federal equivalency (Milwaukee 4851-35 for \$16,210,551; New Berlin 4859-08 for \$1,206,314; and Waukesha 7000-03 for \$8,863,261 (reduced at closeout from \$9,201,816)).

Information on projects that closed on a loan during SFY 2019 can be found in Tables 2 and 3.

#### **G. Private Lead Service Line Replacement Program**

Forty-two municipalities received funding through the two-year private lead service line (LSL) replacement program. Total funds awarded came to \$26,857,885, with award amounts ranging from \$100,000 to \$4,001,226. Municipalities funded by the LSL replacement program have had from two to three complete construction seasons, to date, in which to perform private LSL replacements. As of October 15, 2019, \$14,475,926 has been disbursed from the LSL replacement program, which is 53.9% of the awarded funds. Fourteen municipalities that were awarded funds in the first year of the program have requested disbursement of 90% or more of their awarded funding. One municipality, the City of Menasha, has requested 100% of their awards from both years, while the cities of Green Bay and Sheboygan are not far behind. The City of Milwaukee, which has the highest number of LSLs in the state and was awarded the highest amount of LSL funding, has requested disbursement of over \$4 million, over 61% of their awarded funds.

## H. Implementation of Requirements Contained in FFY 2018 Appropriations Act

The FFY 2018 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 115-141) continued requirements from recent years. The requirements for FFY 2018 include: the provision that not less than 20% of the amount of the FFY 2018 DWSRF capitalization grant (\$3,786,200) be used to provide additional subsidization in the form of grants, principal forgiveness, or negative interest rate loans; and the requirement for the use of American Iron and Steel. Davis-Bacon wage rate requirements also still apply. Funding projects that qualify under the green project reserve is still encouraged but a minimum amount is not required.

Out of the thirty-six complete SDWLP applications that were allocated funding on the Final Funding List, fifteen were allocated additional subsidy as principal forgiveness (PF) totaling \$4,863,098, with the amounts based on financial need as described in the SFY 2019 IUP. The remaining projects were allocated loan funds only. As of the end of the SFY, all fifteen SDWLP projects that were allocated PF had received Financial Assistance Agreements that included PF totaling \$4,381,703.

All projects were required to comply with the use of American Iron and Steel provisions and with the Davis-Bacon Act. Projects funded under the Green Project Reserve are discussed in section III. J. below.

## I. Status of Additional Subsidization from FFY 2010 - 2018 Capitalization Grants

Cap Grant FFY	Minimum PF Required	Maximum PF Allowed	PF Amount Awarded
2010	\$7,019,700	\$21,245,438	\$21,245,438
2011	\$4,871,100	\$13,105,247	\$13,105,247
2012	\$3,094,800	\$4,642,200	\$4,642,200
2013	\$2,903,600	\$4,355,400	\$4,355,400
2014	\$3,085,000	\$4,627,500	\$4,627,500
2015	\$3,064,600	\$4,596,900	\$4,596,900
2016	\$2,899,200	\$7,248,000	\$7,248,000
2017	\$2,874,400	\$7,186,000	\$5,000,000
2018	\$3,786,200	\$9,465,500	\$3,308,032
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>\$33,598,600</b>	<b>\$76,472,185</b>	<b>\$68,128,717</b>

PF awarded from the FFY 2018 grant totaled \$3,308,032 as of the end of SFY 2019; the remaining PF required to reach the minimum amount of \$3,786,200 (\$478,168) will be awarded by the end of SFY 2020.

Tables 7 and 8 attached to this report show detail on projects awarded PF from the FFY 2017 and 2018 grants.

## J. Green Project Reserve (GPR) Funding

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and the FFY 2010 and 2011 appropriations required that a minimum of 20% of the DWSRF capitalization grants be allocated to projects or portions of projects that include improved water or energy efficiency, green infrastructure, or other environmentally innovative activities. Starting with FFY 2012 there was no minimum GPR amount required; submittal of the EIF Green Project Reserve form (#8700-357) was made optional at that time. Wisconsin has continued to fund a small number of GPR projects or project components every year. Including projects that received ARRA funding, Wisconsin has funded 99 SDWLP projects that included GPR components totaling \$46,560,657 since 2009.

Three projects were funded during SFY 2019 that identified GPR components:

- The Village of Bloomington (5065-02) replaced leaky water mains and services that they anticipate will reduce water loss by 90-95%. After replacing a badly leaking galvanized service, water pumpage dropped from approximately 62,000 gallons per day to 32,000 gallons per day. Water loss was estimated at approximately 11 million gallons per year. (\$294,000)
- The Village of Cobb (5264-02) installed new well controls, including a motor control center and VFD, and also installed new LED lighting both inside and outside the well house. Estimated energy savings come to 10-15%. (\$125,000)

- The Village of Highland (4818-03) replaced undersized and deteriorating watermains and substandard hydrants, valves, and water services. They estimate that the project will save approximately 1 million gallons of water per year or 5-10% of annual water use. (\$230,000)

#### **K. Federal Reporting**

Federal reporting requirements are being met through an annual Drinking Water National Information Management System (DWNIMS) electronic data submittal to EPA, the Drinking Water Project Benefits Reporting (PBR) database, Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) reporting, and this Annual Report.

The environmental/public health protection results reporting requirement was met through entering data in the PBR database. All loans funded during SFY 2019 have been entered into the PBR database.

### **IV. SET-ASIDES**

Determining accurate set-aside expenditures during SFYs 2016 and 2017 was complicated by the state switching to the use of PeopleSoft for all accounting. Numbers initially reported for SFYs 2016 and 2017 were later determined to be incorrect. Information on set-aside expenditures for SFY 2019 provided in this report are accurate and corrections have also been made to bring the cumulative expenditures up-to-date as of June 30, 2019.

#### **A. Administration**

Administrative costs of \$1,028,865 were requested from the FFY 2018 capitalization grant bringing total funds requested for administration from the beginning of the program to \$13,077,628. An additional \$2,459,420 in banked administrative funds is available for designation from a future capitalization grant if needed (this total includes banked funds requested from the FFY 2019 capitalization grant).

Administrative costs incurred during SFY 2019 totaled \$1,049,828 bringing the cumulative total spent on administration to \$12,959,392.

#### **B. Wellhead Protection**

Wellhead Protection (WHP) funds in the amount of \$396,419 were requested from the FFY 2018 capitalization grant. During SFY 2019, \$347,206 was spent from WHP set-aside funds on demonstration, research, outreach, and data delivery activities. This brings the total amount of funds spent from the WHP set-aside to \$4,396,438 out of total requested WHP funds of \$4,659,727.

Wellhead Protection set-aside accomplishments during SFY 2019 are detailed in the September 2019 DWSRF Set-Aside Performance Evaluation report for FFY 2018.

#### **C. Local Assistance as Part of a Capacity Development Strategy**

Funds for capacity development under the Local Assistance and Other State Programs set-aside were first requested in SFY 2010. During SFY 2019, \$1,211,301 was requested from the FFY 2018 capitalization grant and expenditures totaled \$1,297,237, bringing total expenditures from this set-aside to \$8,867,499 out of the total requested funds of \$9,458,733.

These funds are being used for the county compliance surveillance program to fund contracts with county and local health agencies for inspections at approximately 6,834 transient non-community systems in 53 counties. Information on this program can be found in the September 2019 DWSRF Set-Aside Performance Evaluation report.

## **D. Small Systems Technical Assistance**

The Small Systems Technical Assistance set-aside funds technical assistance to Other-than-Municipal (OTM) and Non-Transient Non-Community (NTNC) public water systems to help them comply with regulatory requirements. During SFY 2019, an additional \$235,080 in technical assistance funds were requested from the FFY 2018 capitalization grant; expenditures came to \$183,186. This brings the total funds requested to \$6,237,812 and total expenditures to \$5,949,471. An additional \$858,663 in Small Systems Technical Assistance funds has been banked from previous grants; these funds are available for designation from a future capitalization grant if needed (this total includes funds requested to be banked from the FFY 2019 capitalization grant).

Descriptions of the completed and on-going contracts funded with the small systems technical assistance set-aside are detailed in the September 2019 DWSRF Set-Aside Performance Evaluation report.

## **E. State Program Management**

The State Program Management set-aside is used to fund state staff, archiving contracts, information technology (IT) contracts, operator certification contracts for public water system supervision, and research projects. Further information on these positions and activities is contained in the September 2019 DWSRF Set-Aside Performance Evaluation report.

Funds awarded for State Program Management activities (including Capacity Development and Operator Certification) through the end of SFY 2019 totaled \$22,007,102 with another \$8,080,700 banked for possible designation from a future capitalization grant (this total includes banked funds requested from the FFY 2019 capitalization grant). State Program Management funds totaling \$2,228,655 were requested from the FFY 2018 Capitalization Grant. Expenditures during SFY 2019 totaled \$2,414,739 bringing the total funds spent on State Program Management to \$21,789,917.

## **V. MEETING PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJECTIVES**

The State's DWSRF program is designed to operate in perpetuity to provide low interest loans for public drinking water infrastructure projects. A number of goals, objectives, and conditions have been established in the IUP, the Operating Agreement, and the grants.

### **A. Intended Use Plan Goals**

#### **Short-Term Goals:**

- **Direct funds to the State's most urgent SDWA compliance and public health needs.**

The Priority Evaluation and Ranking Formula is used to determine the priority list for the SDWLP. Priority scores are based on a scoring system that gives higher points for projects that address more severe public health risks (such as total/fecal positives or waterborne disease outbreak, water treatment deficiencies (turbidity; disinfection) due to a system not meeting current design standards, and exceedances of nitrate/nitrite standard). In general, the projects that rank the highest on the list will receive the available funds. Other requirements (such as a biennial limit for loan recipients and the 15% requirement for funding water systems with a population under 10,000) can directly impact which projects receive funding through the SDWLP.

- **Develop and improve strategies, programs, and mechanisms to ensure, improve, and evaluate the ability of public water systems to provide safe drinking water.**

The Wisconsin DNR is implementing strategies for evaluating and improving a public water system's ability to provide safe drinking water, through capacity development reviews of new and existing Municipal, OTM, and NTNC water systems. The DNR also continues to develop and provide technical information, technical assistance, and educational opportunities on a wide range of topics related to providing safe drinking water.

The DNR contracted with a third-party, the Wisconsin Rural Water Association, in SFY 2019, to maintain a Technical Assistance program for OTM and NTNC public water systems. The third-party provider conducts onsite visits at OTM and NTNC public water systems that need assistance, and provides quarterly monitoring reminders for all respective active water systems. Site visits are targeted to the specific needs of the water system and can cover operations and maintenance, monitoring, regulatory and public notice requirements, and violation response. Monitoring support contacts include reminders of upcoming monitoring deadlines and correct locations and procedures for sample collection.

The DNR also provides a robust Operator Certification program, based on the premise that providing proper training will build competence in public water system operators through a comprehensive program of operator preparation, examination, continuing education, and technical assistance. This program is critical to the effective and efficient operation of Wisconsin's public water systems.

Finally, the SDWLP is providing below-market-rate loans and principal forgiveness to community water systems to conduct necessary improvements to their water systems so that they may continue to provide safe drinking water.

- **Provide financial assistance, including principal forgiveness, to economically disadvantaged communities for the purpose of installing the necessary infrastructure to provide an adequate supply of safe drinking water.**

In the twenty-one years the SDWLP has been operating, loans totaling \$734,471,173 have been made to 248 different communities (see table 2). One hundred forty-one of these communities (56.9%) qualified for the State's disadvantaged interest rate of 33% of the State's market rate and/or received principal forgiveness, with the remainder receiving loans at 55% of the State's market rate. Forty-four communities received principal forgiveness under ARRA and 139 communities have received principal forgiveness from the FFY 2010 through 2018 appropriations as of the end of the SFY. Though principal forgiveness was available in SFYs 2011 through 2019 to most projects that fell within the fundable range for principal forgiveness, higher percentages were allocated to those communities with the greatest financial need (based on the principal forgiveness allocation methodology as outlined in the corresponding IUP).

- **Incentivize public water systems to replace lead service lines, implement corrosion control study recommendations, develop and maintain asset management plans, and execute partnership agreements.**

Starting in SFY 2019, table 3 of the PF allocation methodology was changed to add additional PF points related to replacing LSLs, implementing corrosion control study recommendations, developing or updating asset management plans, and developing water system partnerships. Ten points are awarded for watermain projects that include LSL replacements when at least 40% of the services being replaced are composed of lead or galvanized material that is downstream of lead components. Ten points are awarded for projects implementing approved recommendations of a corrosion control treatment study. Ten points or five points are awarded for developing a new asset management plan or revising an existing plan, respectively. Five points are awarded to municipalities that executed a new public water system partnership within the previous twelve months that will improve TMF (technical, managerial, financial) capacity in one or more water systems. For SFY 2019, two projects received ten additional PF points for replacing LSLs and fourteen projects received ten additional PF points for developing a new asset management plan. No PF points were awarded for implementation of corrosion control treatment studies or public water system partnerships.

- **Protect municipal drinking water supplies by facilitating the development and implementation of wellhead protection plans.**

The DNR requires an approved WHP plan for all new wells for municipal public water systems, prior to placing the well in service. In an effort to assist communities and their consultants in addressing all plan requirements as specified in s. NR 811.12 (6), Wis. Adm. Code, a Wellhead Protection Plan Review Checklist (form 3300-272) is required as a part of the WHP plan submittal that guides plan preparers through the WHP planning process.

DNR considers municipal community water systems with approved wellhead protection plans that have enacted wellhead protection ordinances to be substantially implementing source water protection. The publication DG-053-00, *A Template for Preparing Wellhead Protection Plans for Municipal Wells* provides examples of wellhead protection ordinances of this type. To facilitate implementation of wellhead protection where source water originates outside of municipal boundaries, DNR encourages

and provides guidance on the adoption of county-wide ordinances as an adjunct to any municipal wellhead protection plan as a means to utilize county authority to limit locating potential contamination sources within the wellhead protection areas. DNR has met the target set for the SDW-SP4 measure for the percent of community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection (SWP) for the period ending September 30, 2018—the target was 17% of systems and the State achieved 35.1% (196 of 558 municipal systems with "substantial implementation").

DNR continues to advance initiatives designed to protect public water supply sources and reduce infrastructure costs, treatment costs, and public health risk. The DNR and the Wisconsin Rural Water Association continue to work with communities with potentially susceptible wells to update WHP plans and translate plans into protective action. All communities are organized into a priority list based on susceptibility data and other factors. The DNR's performance objective with US EPA is two, successful, transferrable WHP actions in the next five years. Employing cross program coordination, a strategic intervention in the Village of Luck has helped address the movement of chlorinated solvent plumes toward supply wells.

The DNR is conducting a nitrate demonstration project, utilizing a range of well-vulnerability assessment tools specifically geared to rising nitrate levels in public supply wells. In addition to site-specific pilot projects in several communities, DNR has begun work on a set of decision support tools to facilitate statewide reduction of nitrate impacts to public water supplies due to land management practices in areas contributing groundwater recharge to wells. Agricultural system tools are under development to help these communities work with producers on nitrogen management systems to optimize source water quality and agricultural productivity.

Additionally, to protect underground sources of drinking water, the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program limits and reviews proposals for the placement of wastes, remedial amendments, and investigational tracers in the subsurface.

- **Facilitate the use of Clean Water funds where infrastructure projects for water systems support the objectives of the Clean Water Act.**

Wisconsin's CWSRF has more funds available than its DWSRF (the CWSRF has been in existence longer plus there have been additional funds from the state side of the program), so in instances where a project is related to both drinking water and wastewater, applicants will be encouraged to apply for funding from the CWFP rather than the SDWLP. An example would be where a municipality is adding phosphate to their drinking water in order to remove iron but at the same time has a WWTP that needs to reduce its phosphorus discharge. In this instance the CWFP could fund the installation of iron filtration for the drinking water system as a means of reducing phosphorus to meet WPDES discharge limits. Another example is the installation of water meters.

Wisconsin's Nutrient Reduction Strategy and its Nonpoint Program Plan have both been updated to incorporate drinking water issues and opportunities. Wastewater and Drinking Water staff are continuing to work together to identify communities where wastewater practices would also benefit drinking water systems.

- **Encourage public water systems to plan for the impacts of extreme weather events and provide funding through the SDWLP for projects that implement sustainability and resiliency.**

The DNR's Public Water Engineering Section, in the Drinking Water and Groundwater Program, has an emergency preparedness and response coordinator. This coordinator provides training annually, and works throughout the year with DNR's Public Drinking Water Field Representatives, on considerations public water systems should be making in their design and operation in order to create resiliency and redundancy to extreme weather conditions such as drought, flooding, extreme temperatures, power outages, etc. This information is communicated to the public water systems during sanitary surveys, on-site visits, pre-design meetings, and technical assistance meetings. The DNR also modified the SDWLP priority scoring criteria to provide additional points for proposed projects that provide and/or address concerns with system redundancy and reliability under all operating conditions. This will assign higher priority scores to projects designed to address emergency situations.

## Long-Term Goals:

- **Assist public water systems in achieving and maintaining compliance with all applicable State and Federal drinking water requirements.**

With the development of the small systems technical assistance, capacity development, and operator certification programs, and utilizing existing State functions (such as sanitary surveys, sampling data management, and plan review), the State's public water systems have resources to help reach and maintain optimum system performance. The SDWLP provides below-market-rate loans and/or principal forgiveness to assist communities in financing projects that will bring the systems back into compliance. As stated previously, priority scores are based on a scoring system that gives higher points for projects that address more severe public health risks, by providing more points to those projects that will resolve any outstanding deficiencies or imminent risks to public health and safety.

- **Facilitate distribution system materials inventories and the replacement of all remaining lead service lines, in their entirety, in the State of Wisconsin.**

Prior to the inception of the private lead service line (LSL) replacement program through the SDWLP, water utilities had limited options for helping customers pay for the replacement of privately-owned LSLs. As the Public Service Commission (PSC) had ruled that ratepayer funds could not be used for improvements on private property, this left general obligation bonding as one of the only options for municipalities to cover the cost of private LSL replacements. Established in 2016, the private LSL replacement program provided principal forgiveness for removal of private-side LSLs, which did not involve the municipality incurring any debt that had to be repaid. However, funds for that program were limited in quantity and were only available for allocation over a two-year period.

The limited funds and duration brought about an awareness of the magnitude of financial assistance needed by communities to achieve full LSL replacement. This awareness resulted in the passage of 2017 Wisconsin Act 137, which was enacted on February 21, 2018. Act 137 allows utilities to use ratepayer funds to provide financial assistance to customers for private LSL replacement, in the form of a grant, loan, or combination thereof. Financial assistance provided as grants is limited to 50% of the total cost to the owner to replace the private LSL, whereas loans can be for the entire or remaining customer owned portion and cannot be forgiven by the water utility. The utilities are required to work with the PSC to set up their private LSL replacement program and must pass an ordinance that makes private LSL replacements mandatory. Partial lead service line replacements are not allowed. With passage of Act 137, the SDWLP is now able to fund private LSL replacement projects with revenue and/or general obligation pledges. As of the date of this Annual Report, six municipalities have applied to the PSC for authority to implement a customer-side lead service line replacement program. The cities of Kenosha, Menasha, and Manitowoc have all been granted the authority to implement their customer-side LSL replacement programs. Applications from the cities of Kaukauna, Fond du Lac, and Sun Prairie are still undergoing review. It is expected that more municipalities will apply. Staff from DNR worked with the PSC throughout the development of Act 137 and in developing policies for SDWLP funding of LSL replacement projects. It is hoped that this will help to facilitate the eventual removal of all lead service lines in the State of Wisconsin.

The PSC has historically required municipalities to report annually their publicly owned distribution system and service line materials. In recognition of preventing partial line replacements and a need for full system materials inventory, PSC began requiring communities to report private side service materials for calendar year 2017. Further, for proper implementation of the Lead and Copper Rule (LCR), sample sites with lead service lines should be given priority for compliance monitoring. As such, municipalities developing a full, service line materials inventory is critical to proper LCR implementation.

- **Facilitate public water systems in conducting corrosion control treatment studies.**

Adding ten points to table 3 of the principal forgiveness allocation methodology was intended as an incentive for municipalities to implement the recommendations from corrosion control studies. For SFY 2019, no applications were received for projects implementing the recommendations from a corrosion control study.

- **Facilitate public water systems in developing and maintaining asset management plans.**

Adding five or ten points to table 3 of the principal forgiveness allocation methodology was intended to be an incentive for municipalities to develop and maintain asset management plans. For SFY 2019, fourteen projects were awarded an additional ten PF points for developing and submitting a new asset management plan for their water system.

- **Encourage public water system partnerships.**

Adding five points to table 3 of the principal forgiveness allocation methodology was intended to incentivize the development of water system partnerships between systems that need to improve their TMF capacity. For SFY 2019, no applications were received from applicants that had implemented a new water system partnership within the previous twelve months.

- **Protect the public health and environmental quality of the State.**

The DNR is continuing with its SDWA and Wisconsin Administrative Code implementation to ensure that public water systems are being properly operated and to address water quality issues that do arise. The DNR is also moving forward with implementing new and revised requirements that apply to public water systems as developed by US EPA to further protect public health. Additionally, current efforts in the source water protection and wellhead protection areas are focusing on using source water assessment information to develop protection plans for the most susceptible community systems. These efforts are vital in developing future strategies for how we, as a State and as a Region, will address these areas in the future to protect surface water and groundwater quality and quantity and thus the public health and environmental quality of the State.

- **Manage the revolving loan fund in such a way as to protect its long-term integrity and enable it to revolve in perpetuity.**

The loan repayments received to date by the DWSRF have all been held in the DWSRF. No loan repayment money received by the DWSRF has been removed from the DWSRF. Furthermore, subject to EPA limits, some loan repayment money received by the Clean Water SRF was transferred into the DWSRF during the early years of the program in order to provide additional funding for drinking water loans. Future funding capacity has also been modeled when making decisions about the amount of additional subsidy to provide. Leveraging of the SDWLP was proposed and enacted in Wisconsin's recent biennial budget and will serve to increase available funding in the program. In addition, the State has mechanisms in place to protect the State's investments, such as the ability to intercept local aid payments to communities that fail to repay their loans. Of the outstanding balances in the Safe Drinking Water portfolio as of June 30, 2019, 32.62% are rated below investment grade while 45.50% are rated double-A or better.

- **Maintain existing partnerships with other State and Federal financing and regulatory agencies to coordinate funding and promote efficiency for both the agencies and the applicants.**

The EIF program continues to work with the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Public Facilities program, USDA Rural Development (RD), and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (PSC) in order to better coordinate funding and reduce redundancies in reviews for projects involving multiple agencies. Staff from the EIF, CDBG, and the PSC participate in RD's monthly conference call in order to keep apprised of the progress of joint projects and more quickly identify potential issues. The EIF and RD often attend conferences in tandem and, when practicable, share the same booth to illustrate our agencies' collaboration to customers and better serve customers seeking funding information. These efforts have resulted in collaboration at earlier stages of potential jointly-funded projects and improved clarity regarding the anticipated allocation of sources of funding when developing the budgets for Financial Assistance Agreements. PSC involvement has allowed EIF staff to provide more realistic closing timelines for projects by understanding potential time constraints associated with the need to increase rates or require construction authorization. In addition, the EIF, RD, CDBG, and PSC have created an Environmental Review process that reduces redundancy and allows one document to be used for all agencies. For projects that include both water main and sanitary sewer replacements along with road reconstruction, the EIF added flexibility in the code, allowing the SDWLP to accept different types of documentation for bidding from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) than it would for projects bid by a municipality, as the WisDOT process is quite different. This has allowed the EIF to more seamlessly fund projects with DOT involvement. The EIF is also partnering with Wisconsin Focus on Energy to promote energy efficiency improvements at water treatment plants.

- **Monitor the progress of State programs and strategies in improving the ability of public water systems to provide safe drinking water.**

The DNR's capacity development, operator continuing education, and technical assistance programs are comprehensive programs that implement strategies to evaluate and assist water systems in enhancing their ability to provide safe drinking water. These programs are structured to prepare operators for day-to-day tasks, provide relevant water system operator and manager education, offer exams for additional levels of certification, and provide technical assistance to help water system operators optimize their ability to provide safe drinking water. The DNR developed and utilizes a comprehensive Drinking Water System database to monitor public water system performance, with the annual incidence of monitoring and reporting violations serving as a gauge of system compliance with drinking water regulations.

- **Maintain a program for ensuring that all public water systems are constructed, operated, maintained, and monitored properly.**

The DNR uses a variety of measures to ensure that all public water systems are constructed, operated, maintained and monitored properly. Plan review of all community water systems; potable high capacity, school, and WWTP well review; and approval for NTNC systems (including all schools), is conducted to ensure that public water systems are constructed properly. Final construction plans must be submitted for review and approval for the aforementioned public water systems, prior to commencing construction, in order to ensure compliance with Wisconsin Administrative Code. Throughout operation, regular sanitary survey inspections, monthly operating report submittal, and water quality monitoring are conducted as ongoing measures to ensure that public water systems are operated, maintained, and monitored properly, and to ensure the public water systems are delivering safe drinking water. Additionally, the DNR's capacity development and operator certification programs ensure the continual TMF capacity of systems to provide safe drinking water to their customers. Data from plan reviews, sanitary surveys, monthly operating reports and water quality monitoring are tracked in the DNR's Drinking Water System database, allowing DNR staff to track water systems' performance and respond rapidly to any instances of contaminant exceedances or violations. In SFY 2019, more than 99% of the State's public drinking water systems met all health-based standards for quality.

- **Protect drinking water supplies by integrating wellhead protection and source water protection efforts with other water and land use programs.**

The DNR's Bureau of Drinking Water and Groundwater (DG) promotes broader integration of source water protection through a range of groundwater and surface water protection activities and the regulation of water use. The program makes direct connections between land use, watershed health, and public health by protecting sources of drinking water from point and nonpoint pollutants and by collecting, analyzing, and disseminating data related to groundwater quality and quantity. Recognizing the benefits of integrating water resource protection, DG works with Clean Water Act programs to incorporate groundwater protection into nonpoint pollution reduction programs and watershed restoration projects. Specific Bureau activities that promote comprehensive watershed health include:

- Establishing well construction standards, as well as well filling and sealing standards, and requirements that prevent cross-aquifer contamination.
- Regular water quality monitoring at approximately 11,500 active public water systems. The data obtained from the wide spatial and multi-aquifer distribution of public water system wells provide one metric for watershed health.
- Providing data and technical assistance to researchers conducting aquifer studies, including evaluations of susceptibility of water resources to sources of contamination.
- Mapping watersheds for nutrient impact areas of concern.
- Analysis of aquifer impacts from natural and anthropogenic contaminants and development of monitoring, response, and mitigation strategies.
- Providing hydrogeologic analysis to assist with siting, permitting, and design of Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations.
- The design and analysis of monitoring plans and data related to land disposal of wastes.

- Participating in the standards committee for state adaptation of NRCS 590 nutrient management standards, with emphasis on protecting groundwater through prohibitions, setbacks from susceptible geologic features or receptors, and recommendations for enhanced nutrient management to protect groundwater.
  - Environmental Impact analysis for major projects with potential water resource concerns.
  - Annual funding of competitive research proposals to address priority water resource concerns.
  - Leadership within the interagency Groundwater Coordination Council, including an annual report to the state legislature on the status of groundwater resources.
  - Contributing to the development and implementation of the State Nutrient Reduction Strategy, including assisting with prioritization of watersheds for nitrogen & phosphorus load reductions (HUC 8 -12).
  - Participating in a cross-program nitrogen workgroup to integrate groundwater into comprehensive watershed plans.
  - Participating in a workgroup to address potential contamination from nitrate and pathogens in Karst Areas, and addressing groundwater and drinking water concerns in susceptible areas.
  - Implementing the Nitrate Demonstration Initiative to develop transferable methods for reducing agricultural nutrient impacts in source water protection areas.
  - Recommending Best Management Practices (BMPs) to protect sources of drinking water within the context of watershed projects or wellhead protection.
  - Providing hydrogeologic analysis and data in support of Section 319 nonpoint source pollution program implementation projects, including BMPs that protect groundwater watersheds or sources of drinking water.
  - The siting and permitting of high capacity wells.
  - Providing GIS application layers to assist DNR staff issuing land spreading permits in order to ensure that source water areas for public wells are being protected.
  - Actively participating on the newly formed NRCS Source Water Protection Subcommittee to implement new farm bill requirements to devote percentage of conservation practices funding to protect sources of drinking water.
- **Expand eligibility for financial assistance to include non-municipal systems.**

During SFY 2015, as part of Wisconsin's 2015-2017 Biennial Budget process, statutory changes were proposed that would expand eligibility under the SDWLP to include private owners of community water systems and nonprofit noncommunity water systems. During the budget process these proposed statutory changes were modified to only include private owners of community water systems that serve a municipality. In addition, a restriction was imposed prohibiting the DNR from awarding principal forgiveness to these private water systems. As of the date of this Annual Report, no private water system has applied to the SDWLP for funding.

- **Develop methods and mechanisms for measuring program effectiveness.**

The DNR's environmental enforcement division tracks the public drinking water systems that are not in compliance with the SDWA and as a result are subject to the State's legal enforcement process. When these drinking water systems return to compliance, consent orders are closed out and the enforcement process is ended. The Public Drinking Water Program is able to correlate which systems were previously in enforcement and returned to compliance as a result of constructing a project utilizing the SDWLP. This return to compliance utilizing SDWLP monies demonstrates the effectiveness of Wisconsin's DWSRF program.

## **B. Compliance with Grant Conditions from FFY 2018 Capitalization Grant**

- Compliance with administrative condition 1 regarding utilization of small, minority, and women's business enterprises is addressed in section V. C below.
- Administrative condition 2 regarding extension of the project/budget period did not apply as no time extensions were needed.

- Administrative condition 3 includes numerous conditions that previously were listed separately. The State meets all the applicable general terms and conditions included in the document titled *EPA General Terms and Conditions Effective October 2, 2017*, which is the set of terms and conditions in effect at the time the grant was awarded.
- Programmatic condition A regarding the Payment Schedule did not require any additional action on the part of the State.
- Programmatic condition B requires the State to deposit state match equal to at least 20% of the capitalization grant award into the SRF. This requirement is met every year; a total of \$3,786,200 in state matching funds was deposited into the SRF during SFY 2019.
- Programmatic condition C, which states that the Intended Use Plan and Operating Agreement are incorporated into the capitalization grant assistance agreement, is understood by the State and has been complied with.
- Programmatic condition D regarding the Set-Aside Work Plan has been complied with. More information regarding the set-asides can be found in the September 2019 DWSRF Set-Aside Performance Evaluation report for FFY 2018.
- Programmatic condition E regarding Set-Aside Sub-Grants and Contracts has been complied with as copies of contracts are provided to EPA along with the set-aside work plan. There is no semi-annual report though, only an annual set-aside report and this Annual Report covering the revolving loan fund.
- Programmatic condition F regarding Travel has been complied with.
- Programmatic condition G regarding Drinking Water National Information Systems (DWNIMS) reporting has been met. See section III. K. above.
- Programmatic condition H regarding Public Health Benefits Reporting has been met. See section III. K. above.
- Programmatic condition I regarding Annual Reporting is being met by submission of this Annual Report.
- Programmatic condition J regarding Set-Aside Reporting has been complied with. The DWSRF Set-Aside Performance Evaluation report for FFY 2018 was submitted to EPA in September 2019.
- Programmatic condition K regarding Program Income from Administrative Fees does not apply as the SDWLP does not assess fees at this time.
- Programmatic condition L regarding signage is being implemented. Projects designated as federal equivalency are required to comply with the signage requirement.
- Programmatic condition M regarding additional subsidization is being complied with. Specific details are discussed in sections III. E through I above and shown in tables 3, 7, and 8.
- Programmatic condition N regarding the use of SRF funds for projects to address green infrastructure, water or energy efficiency, or other environmentally innovative activities has been met (see section III. J. above and tables 7 and 8).
- Programmatic condition O regarding Geospatial Data Standards does not apply as no geospatial data is being created using capitalization grant funds.
- Programmatic condition P regarding the use of American iron and steel is being met. (See section III. H. above.) All loan recipients are required to comply with the use of American iron and steel provisions of P.L. 115-141. Applicants sign a certification that their bids have met the requirement, as applicable, prior to closing on the financial assistance agreement and make a further certification regarding compliance at project closeout.
- Programmatic condition Q regarding cybersecurity has been met, as applicable.
- Programmatic condition R regarding Wage Rate Requirements has been met. Conditions requiring compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act have been included in all assistance agreements and the construction contracts funded by these assistance agreements are also required to include the appropriate language. In addition, all disbursement requests must be accompanied by the *Davis Bacon and Related Acts Payroll Certification* form (Form 8700-215A).

### **C. Disadvantaged Business Utilization (DBE)**

The applicable “Fair Share” goals for SFY 2019 were 8.0% for MBE (minority business enterprise) firms and 8.0% for WBE (women’s business enterprise) firms.

All projects funded through the SDWLP were reviewed for conformance with the MBE/WBE solicitation requirements. EPA Form 5700-52A was submitted as required.

Reporting on disadvantaged business utilization is done when loans close out at the end of construction. This gives a more accurate number, as it is actual utilization rather than an estimate. The requirement for submitting DBE reports to EPA has changed from

quarterly to semi-annually to annually, with reports now being submitted in October. This annual DBE reporting does not correlate to the state fiscal year so the numbers given in this Annual Report will be from the one annual DBE report submitted during the SFY (in October 2018). Percentages given in this Annual Report cover projects that closed out between October 1, 2017 and September 30, 2018. Projects that closed out between October 1, 2018 and September 30, 2019 will be included in the SFY 2020 Annual Report.

For the twenty-six SDWLP loans that were closed out between October 1, 2017 and September 30, 2018, the overall percentages achieved were: MBE 1.13% and WBE 0.14%, for a combined DBE total of 1.27%.

## **VI. FINANCIAL HEALTH OF THE DRINKING WATER SRF**

As of the end of SFY 2019, a total of \$394,819,552 has been drawn from the FFY 1997 through 2018 capitalization grants, (including \$37,750,000 from the ARRA grant). This is made up of \$339,146,937 in project costs and \$55,672,615 from the various set-asides. In addition to the capitalization grant funds, \$72,115,173 in state matching funds, \$23,596,056 in transferred funds, \$226,824,551 from repayments, and \$12,875,704 in interest earnings have been disbursed. (See table 1 and tables 5-6.)

Principal payments on loans are due in May of each year with interest payments in May and November. During SFY 2019, \$26,509,833 in principal repayments and \$6,085,002 in interest payments were received, bringing total principal repayments to \$264,744,391 and total interest payments to \$83,131,153, both as of June 30, 2019.

Each year the DWSRF is subject to an annual audit by a nationally recognized audit firm. The audit contract is currently with Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP. The audit firm prepares detailed financial reports as a part of their audit. The most recently completed audit is submitted by DOA under separate cover. In addition, the DOA accountants maintain records of all receipts and expenditures and prepare financial statements reflecting this activity.

<b>Table 1: Status of SRF Funds as of 06/30/19</b>		
	<b>SFY 2019 Draws/Disbs.</b>	<b>Cumulative Draws/Disbs.</b>
Federal Cap. Grants - Project Costs- Loans	\$13,830,680	\$272,809,856
Federal Cap. Grants - Project Costs- Principal Forgiveness	\$0	\$28,587,081
ARRA Grant - Project Costs - Principal Forgiveness	\$0	\$37,750,000
Transferred Funds - Project Costs	\$0	\$23,596,056
Interest Earnings - Project Costs	\$4,832,080	\$12,875,704
Repayments - Project Costs - Loans	\$17,329,449	\$204,690,562
Repayments - Project Costs - Principal Forgiveness	\$12,150,040	\$22,133,989
State Match - Project Costs	\$3,786,200	\$72,115,173
<b>Project Disbursements Subtotals:</b>	<b>\$51,928,449</b>	<b>\$674,558,421</b>
Federal Cap. Grants - SRF Administration	\$1,049,828	\$12,959,392
Federal Cap. Grants - Programmatic Set-Asides	\$4,242,369	\$44,741,250
<b>Set-Aside Expense Subtotals:</b>	<b>\$5,292,197</b>	<b>\$57,700,642</b>
<b>Total SRF Disbursements/Expenses</b>	<b>\$57,220,646</b>	<b>\$732,259,063</b>

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Fontana, Village of *	4805-01	348	02/10/99	\$1,664,500	\$1,664,233	2.640%	1999
Mattoon, Village of *	4842-01	605	03/24/99	\$235,523	\$227,416	1.584%	1999
Milwaukee, City of *	4851-02	520	12/22/98	\$37,510,022	\$17,559,378	2.640%	1999
Mukwonago, Village of *	4854-01	171	04/28/99	\$1,886,442	\$1,800,696	2.640%	1999
Oshkosh, City of	4874-01	360	12/16/98	\$32,324,414	\$11,913,672	2.640%	1999
Racine, City of	4887-01	437/429	02/10/99	\$12,594,655	\$12,594,655	2.640%	1999
Waupaca, City of *	4929-01	460	02/10/99	\$1,297,000	\$773,995	1.584%	1999
Wautoma, City of *	4931-01	2605	12/16/98	\$3,702,500	\$3,612,860	1.584%	1999
Williams Bay, Village of *	4938-01	357	02/10/99	\$884,800	\$836,365	2.640%	1999
<b>SFY 1999 Totals:</b>				<b>\$92,099,856</b>	<b>\$50,983,270</b>		
Cochrane, Village of *	4785-01	72	06/28/00	\$454,324	\$441,072	1.782%	2000
Oshkosh, City of	4874-01	360	04/26/00	incl in '99 total	\$9,068,816	2.970%	2000
<b>SFY 2000 Totals:</b>				<b>\$454,324</b>	<b>\$9,509,888</b>		
Ashland, City of *	4759-01	472	04/25/01	\$3,272,700	\$2,201,776	1.782%	2001
Chippewa Falls, City of	4783-01	360	02/14/01	\$2,368,232	\$2,257,879	2.970%	2001
Goodman SD #1	4811-01	149	11/22/00	\$1,032,000	\$611,093	1.782%	2001
Oshkosh, City of	4874-01	360	12/27/00	incl in '99 total	\$4,567,548	2.970%	2001
Port Washington, City of	4883-01	263	11/29/00	\$3,553,644	\$539,587	2.970%	2001
<b>SFY 2001 Totals:</b>				<b>\$10,226,576</b>	<b>\$10,177,883</b>		
Cedar Grove, Village of *	4780-01	348	04/10/02	\$626,731	\$572,913	2.750%	2002
Fairchild, Village of *	5053-01	594	04/24/02	\$429,350	\$144,304	1.650%	2002
Footville, Village of *	4986-01	320	04/24/02	\$485,135	\$473,793	2.750%	2002
Oshkosh, City of	4874-01	360	02/26/01	incl in '99 total	\$3,483,913	2.750%	2002
Plover, Village of **	4882-01	360	01/28/01	\$3,664,145	\$3,326,712	2.930%	2002
Port Washington, City of **	4883-01	263	01/28/01	incl in '01 total	\$2,864,113	2.750%	2002
<b>SFY 2002 Totals:</b>				<b>\$5,205,361</b>	<b>\$10,865,748</b>		
Benton, Village of	4766-01	113/95	03/23/03	\$1,274,362	\$601,600	1.650%	2003
Cameron, Village of	5054-01	42	04/23/03	\$364,885	\$364,885	1.650%	2003
Dickeyville, Village of *	4794-01	46/44	11/27/02	\$1,082,203	\$1,016,517	2.750%	2003
Marinette, City of *	4841-02	53	02/12/03	\$4,307,310	\$3,875,190	2.750%	2003
Mukwonago, Village of *	4854-02	132	11/27/02	\$637,595	\$466,333	2.750%	2003
Oakfield, Village of	5050-01	87	04/23/03	\$2,310,306	\$2,200,000	2.750%	2003
<b>SFY 2003 Totals:</b>				<b>\$9,976,661</b>	<b>\$8,524,525</b>		
Algoma SD #1*	5118-01	76	04/14/04	\$10,937,721	\$7,106,824	2.365%	2004
Arcadia, City of *	4756-02	81	04/28/04	\$1,778,030	\$909,877	1.419%	2004
Bowler, Village of *	5112-01	173	04/28/04	\$1,026,505	\$622,729	1.419%	2004
Brownsville, Village of *	5155-02	98	03/24/04	\$8,388,514	\$383,227	2.365%	2004
Chilton, City of *	5115-01	108	04/14/04	\$526,734	\$450,065	2.365%	2004
Eagle, Village of *	5128-01	151	03/10/04	\$2,161,248	\$2,132,342	2.750%	2004
Greenville SD #1 *	5117-01	200	04/14/04	\$389,433	\$274,503	2.365%	2004
Hustisford, Village of *	5158-01	158/90	04/28/04	\$1,057,341	\$1,045,386	2.365%	2004
Janesville, City of *	5119-02	159	12/10/03	\$3,082,750	\$1,336,937	2.750%	2004
Marinette, City of *	4841-03	33	04/28/04	\$1,284,296	\$1,151,786	2.365%	2004
Mount Hope, Village of	5160-01	48/44/38	04/28/04	\$680,193	\$386,498	2.365%	2004
Nekoosa, City of *	4858-02	72	03/24/04	\$4,304,201	\$4,158,148	2.365%	2004
Oregon, Village of *	4873-02	42	04/28/04	\$432,818	\$431,818	2.365%	2004
Prairie du Chien, City of	5163-01	58	04/28/04	\$789,262	\$539,262	1.419%	2004
Sheboygan, City of	4901-02	229	03/10/04	\$3,842,300	\$3,152,000	2.750%	2004
Tomah, City of *	4921-04	321	12/23/03	\$1,049,932	\$953,991	1.650%	2004
Two Rivers, City of *	4920-02	432	04/14/04	\$4,269,641	\$4,242,499	2.365%	2004

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Viroqua, City of	5168-01	67	04/28/04	\$1,017,329	\$1,017,329	1.419%	2004
Warrens, Village of **	5167-01	106/100/82	04/28/04	\$1,153,621	\$583,621	1.419%	2004
Withee, Village of *	4940-02	64	04/28/04	\$120,000	\$119,598	1.419%	2004
<b>SFY 2004 Totals:</b>				<b>\$48,291,869</b>	<b>\$30,998,440</b>		
Algoma SD #1*	5118-02	310	04/13/05	\$2,420,003	\$2,215,742	2.365%	2005
Greenville SD #1 *	5117-02	280	04/27/05	\$1,931,285	\$1,550,200	2.365%	2005
Janesville, City of	5119-01	159	12/08/04	\$2,700,000	\$2,150,000	2.365%	2005
Neenah, City of	4856-02	271	04/27/05	\$24,817,555	\$16,499,791	2.365%	2005
Racine, City of	4887-03	247	12/22/04	\$20,482,692	\$16,666,667	2.365%	2005
Thorp, City of *	4922-02	493/169	04/27/05	\$1,198,085	\$1,177,280	1.419%	2005
Tomah, City of *	4921-07	321	11/24/04	\$1,234,781	\$1,129,188	1.419%	2005
<b>SFY 2005 Totals:</b>				<b>\$54,784,401</b>	<b>\$41,388,868</b>		
Algoma SD #1*	5118-03	143	04/12/06	\$3,996,752	\$2,449,342	2.365%	2006
Menasha, City of	4845-03	247	04/26/06	\$12,675,632	\$12,061,890	2.365%	2006
Neenah, City of	4856-02	279	01/25/06	\$12,844,971	\$9,280,054	2.365%	2006
New Auburn, City of *	5202-01	96	04/12/06	\$1,397,518	\$818,736	1.419%	2006
Seymour, City of *	5211-01	146	04/26/06	\$758,736	\$653,880	2.365%	2006
Suring, Village of*	5213-02	180	04/12/06	\$1,510,570	\$824,656	1.419%	2006
Union Grove, Village of	5165-01	129	11/09/05	\$2,167,472	\$1,511,624	2.365%	2006
<b>SFY 2006 Totals:</b>				<b>\$35,351,651</b>	<b>\$27,600,182</b>		
Arena, Village of *	4757-03	47	04/25/07	\$527,850	\$138,445	2.475%	2007
Augusta, City of	5186-01	105	12/13/06	\$2,312,107	\$1,700,000	1.485%	2007
Forest Junction SD *	5166-01	157	12/13/06	\$1,263,840	\$1,180,280	2.475%	2007
Gillett, City of	5195-01	60	04/25/07	\$1,624,729	\$1,624,729	2.475%	2007
Greenwood, City of *	5246-01	71	03/28/07	\$830,573	\$432,410	1.485%	2007
Menasha, City of*	4845-03	247	04/11/07	incl in '06 total	\$725,513	2.475%	2007
Peshtigo, City of	5243-01	117/105/51	04/25/07	\$6,387,773	\$5,215,512	2.475%	2007
Portage, City of *	5210-01	72	04/25/07	\$121,379	\$103,719	2.475%	2007
St. Cloud, Village of	5242-01	533	04/11/07	\$934,679	\$874,617	2.475%	2007
Tomah, City of	4921-05	127	12/27/06	\$1,818,760	\$1,635,913	1.485%	2007
Union Grove, Village of *	5165-01	103	04/25/07	incl in '06 total	\$491,760	2.365%	2007
<b>SFY 2007 Totals:</b>				<b>\$15,821,690</b>	<b>\$14,122,898</b>		
Arcadia, City of	4756-05	72	02/27/08	\$2,633,770	\$2,133,770	1.419%	2008
Ashland, City of	4759-02	79	04/23/08	\$1,877,906	\$442,199	1.419%	2008
Blair, City of *	5263-01	73	04/23/08	\$2,567,892	\$2,350,026	1.419%	2008
Clintonville, City of	5282-01	144	04/23/08	\$3,909,865	\$3,632,852	1.419%	2008
Fond du Lac, City of	5142-02	219/183/176/130	04/23/08	\$24,189,228	\$21,753,247	2.365%	2008
Holmen, Village of	4820-02	56/52	03/26/08	\$2,456,083	\$1,365,000	2.365%	2008
Necedah, Village of *	5201-01	91	04/23/08	\$974,360	\$968,626	1.419%	2008
Park Falls, City of *	5249-01	90	04/09/08	\$1,506,857	\$600,285	2.365%	2008
Rockland, Village of	5093-01	47	02/27/08	\$339,857	\$232,923	2.365%	2008
Rockland, Village of	5093-04	37/33	06/25/08	\$822,236	\$110,325	2.365%	2008
Rome, Town of	4895-01	355/55	04/23/08	\$4,962,932	\$4,432,688	2.365%	2008
South Milwaukee, City of	4907-02	245	04/23/08	\$9,395,831	\$7,743,344	2.365%	2008
Stratford, Village of	5290-01	370	02/27/08	\$1,904,214	\$1,361,811	2.365%	2008
<b>SFY 2008 Totals:</b>				<b>\$57,541,031</b>	<b>\$47,127,096</b>		
Arlington, Village of *	4758-02	52	04/22/09	\$469,723	\$451,373	2.668%	2009
Ashland, City of *	4759-05	70	04/22/09	\$12,161,158	\$345,702	1.601%	2009
Delavan, City of *	5315-01	70	04/22/09	\$2,811,943	\$2,536,718	2.668%	2009

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Highland, Village of	4818-01	37	09/24/08	\$698,898	\$319,222	2.365%	2009
Menasha, City of	4845-04	33	04/22/09	\$678,908	\$644,286	2.668%	2009
Oshkosh, City of	4874-02	238/38	12/10/08	\$7,907,630	\$7,287,777	2.365%	2009
Park Falls, City of *	5249-02	90	12/23/08	\$3,413,159	\$2,475,563	1.419%	2009
Pittsville, City of*	4879-01	66	12/23/08	\$1,208,843	\$720,364	2.365%	2009
Prairie du Chien, City of	5163-02	42	10/22/08	\$4,585,329	\$1,675,453	1.419%	2009
Rewey, Village of *	5287-01	92	01/14/09	\$123,713	\$122,646	1.601%	2009
Rhineland, City of	5288-01	571	11/26/08	\$2,203,767	\$1,097,024	1.419%	2009
Richland Center, City of *	4893-01	85	04/22/09	\$1,833,877	\$1,643,519	1.601%	2009
Sparta, City of	4909-03	98	03/11/09	\$4,431,124	\$1,229,543	1.601%	2009
Turtle Lake, Village of *	5164-02	351	03/25/09	\$625,824	\$570,399	1.601%	2009
Viola, Village of	5316-01	386/86/70	12/23/08	\$683,371	\$326,776	1.419%	2009
West Salem, Village of*	4934-01	66/52/38	04/22/09	\$3,705,116	\$2,875,881	2.668%	2009
<b>SFY 2009 Totals:</b>				<b>\$47,542,383</b>	<b>\$24,322,246</b>		
Adams, City of *	5310-01	87	12/09/09	\$900,937	\$873,108	1.601%	2010
Algoma SD #1	5118-04	56	02/10/10	\$6,344,369	\$1,324,449	PF Only	2010
Amherst, Village of *	4753-04	146	12/09/09	\$1,244,202	\$1,140,804	2.668%	2010
Avoca, Village of *	4761-01	86	10/28/09	\$861,018	\$247,999	PF Only	2010
Blue River, Village of	4770-02	82	12/09/09	\$530,885	\$462,194	1.601%	2010
Butternut, Village of*	5299-03	92	12/23/09	\$2,124,014	\$1,301,593	1.601%	2010
Cambridge, Village of	5055-03	66	12/23/09	\$909,060	\$659,060	2.668%	2010
Cazenovia, Village of *	5305-01	79/65	12/23/09	\$660,096	\$635,546	1.601%	2010
Chippewa Falls, City of *	4783-02	66	01/13/10	\$3,556,312	\$2,888,153	2.668%	2010
Colby, City of*	4786-01	81	11/25/09	\$3,602,855	\$441,810	1.601%	2010
Cumberland, City of	4791-01	69	12/23/09	\$2,401,220	\$2,401,220	1.601%	2010
Darlington, City of	5387-02	84	12/09/09	\$516,588	\$516,588	1.601%	2010
Deerfield, Village of *	4793-01	58	01/13/10	\$1,116,941	\$1,030,433	2.668%	2010
Fond du Lac, City of **	5142-03	223/205/169/130	03/24/10	\$17,660,638	\$10,984,742	2.200%	2010
Germantown, Village of*	5381-01	81	11/12/09	\$2,031,400	\$1,744,763	2.668%	2010
Glidden SD	5157-04	107/83	01/13/10	\$175,754	\$175,754	1.601%	2010
Greenville SD #1 *	5117-03	57	01/27/10	\$2,438,295	\$2,387,087	2.668%	2010
Holland SD #1 *	5370-01	146	11/25/09	\$233,437	\$222,313	2.668%	2010
Horicon, City of *	5318-02	360	12/09/09	\$1,365,935	\$1,237,244	2.668%	2010
Hurley, City of	5340-02	75	11/25/09	\$1,481,156	\$197,333	PF Only	2010
Lomira, Village of*	5312-01	112	11/25/09	\$1,063,630	\$1,015,829	2.668%	2010
Loyal, City of	4836-01	391	11/12/09	\$1,072,057	\$819,527	1.601%	2010
Lyndon Station, Village of*	5359-01	101	12/23/09	\$1,817,276	\$1,212,111	1.601%	2010
Marinette, City of	4841-05	289	12/23/09	\$19,902,674	\$19,152,674	2.668%	2010
Muskego, City of	5365-02	117	11/25/09	\$907,948	\$907,948	2.668%	2010
Oak Creek, City of*	4869-01	56	01/13/10	\$1,865,689	\$1,671,711	2.668%	2010
Oconto Falls, City of*	4870-02	62	01/13/10	\$1,918,407	\$1,880,459	1.601%	2010
Osceola, Village of	5203-04	166	10/28/09	\$298,874	\$298,874	2.668%	2010
Reedsburg, City of	5374-02	360	01/27/10	\$1,176,187	\$770,327	2.668%	2010
Rib Lake, Village of	5367-01	77	11/12/09	\$1,322,850	\$688,719	1.601%	2010
Richland Center, City of	4893-02	85	11/12/09	\$701,805	\$87,166	PF Only	2010
Rio, Village of	5368-01	134	11/12/09	\$420,823	\$420,823	2.668%	2010
Sherwood, Village of*	4903-05	198	11/12/09	\$3,160,760	\$1,903,610	2.668%	2010
Stanley, City of	4913-02	73	11/12/09	\$1,810,082	\$1,810,082	1.601%	2010
Stevens Point, City of*	4915-01	78	12/09/09	\$2,837,260	\$2,835,760	2.668%	2010
Stoughton, City of *	5403-01	56	01/27/10	\$1,353,662	\$1,188,769	2.668%	2010

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Suring, Village of *	5213-03	152	12/23/09	\$1,246,805	\$668,403	1.601%	2010
Trempealeau, Village of*	4943-02	358	11/12/09	\$2,834,962	\$2,814,879	2.668%	2010
Turtle Lake, Village of *	5164-03	87	01/27/10	\$1,402,142	\$1,331,703	1.601%	2010
Turtle Lake, Village of *	5164-04	351	01/27/10	\$421,449	\$401,530	1.601%	2010
Two Rivers, City of*	4920-09	91	01/13/10	\$1,003,014	\$862,776	2.668%	2010
Union Grove, Village of *	5165-03	139	12/09/09	\$1,304,350	\$1,255,851	2.668%	2010
West Baraboo, Village of*	4933-02	70	01/27/10	\$1,422,982	\$1,351,833	2.668%	2010
Whitehall, City of	4937-01	93	10/28/09	\$3,451,980	\$3,451,980	1.601%	2010
Whiting, Village of	5388-01	156	11/25/09	\$523,813	\$517,332	2.668%	2010
Withee, Village of *	4940-03	115	10/28/09	\$1,984,250	\$1,961,817	1.601%	2010
<b>SFY 2010 Totals:</b>				<b>\$107,380,843</b>	<b>\$82,154,686</b>		
Altoona, City of*	5209-04	56	12/08/10	\$490,327	\$458,481	2.200%	2011
Berlin, City of*	5466-01	114	05/11/11	\$1,687,900	\$1,581,902	2.200%	2011
Brokaw, Village of *	5187-01	191	04/13/11	\$1,367,248	\$729,354	1.320%	2011
Campbellsport, Village of*	5467-01	139	05/11/11	\$240,583	\$234,847	2.200%	2011
Elcho Sanitary District #1	5454-01	116	05/25/11	\$893,457	\$187,734	PF Only	2011
Reedsville, Village of*	4892-01	356	06/22/11	\$1,658,063	\$1,580,498	2.200%	2011
<b>SFY 2011 Totals:</b>				<b>\$6,337,578</b>	<b>\$4,772,816</b>		
Abbotsford, City of	4748-04	383	12/14/11	\$11,558,912	\$701,970	0.000%	2012
Barron, City of *	5473-04	170	06/13/12	\$948,187	\$231,671	1.320%	2012
Bayfield, City of*	5385-01	96	06/27/12	\$670,550	\$609,865	1.320%	2012
Blue River, Village of	4770-03	73	09/28/11	\$268,013	\$147,437	1.320%	2012
Burlington, City of*	5475-01	123/117	02/22/12	\$2,271,418	\$2,271,418	2.200%	2012
Cameron, Village of*	5054-02	67	11/23/11	\$245,050	\$235,033	1.320%	2012
Colby, City of*	4786-04	559/111	12/14/11	\$643,925	\$346,347	1.320%	2012
Cornell, City of*	5485-01	52	06/13/12	\$2,145,891	\$1,763,511	2.200%	2012
Eastman, Village of *	5448-01	578/82	06/27/12	\$1,422,356	\$914,278	1.320%	2012
Elroy, City of *	5379-02	385	06/13/12	\$1,296,156	\$911,971	1.320%	2012
Genoa, Village of*	5459-01	565	02/22/12	\$75,010	\$73,566	1.320%	2012
Grantsburg, Village of*	5377-01	77	06/13/12	\$302,257	\$300,351	1.320%	2012
Hobart, Village of*	5461-01	56	07/27/11	\$1,132,456	\$1,093,507	2.200%	2012
Horicon, City of *	5318-03	360	06/27/12	\$1,162,261	\$1,158,069	2.200%	2012
Marinette, City of *	4841-05	289	05/09/12	\$1,758,431	\$1,331,745	2.668%	2012
Menasha, City of	4845-05	289	06/13/12	\$683,451	\$661,651	2.200%	2012
Menasha, City of *	4845-06	229	11/09/11	\$259,230	\$259,230	2.200%	2012
Merrillan, Village of*	5445-01	95	05/23/12	\$486,726	\$476,160	1.320%	2012
Minong, Village of	5447-01	96	10/26/11	\$498,131	\$498,131	1.320%	2012
Necedah, Village of *	5201-04	88	06/27/12	\$1,648,410	\$1,332,876	1.320%	2012
New Lisbon, City of*	5450-01	563	06/27/12	\$454,501	\$446,931	1.320%	2012
New Lisbon, City of*	5450-02	76	06/27/12	\$720,776	\$655,787	1.320%	2012
Oak Creek, City of	4869-03	52	11/23/11	\$13,298,625	\$5,889,583	2.200%	2012
Ontario, Village of*	5453-02	380	06/13/12	\$89,773	\$86,596	1.320%	2012
Prairie du Sac, Village of*	4885-02	145	04/25/12	\$1,769,682	\$1,731,282	2.200%	2012
South Wayne, Village of	5435-03	95	12/28/11	\$715,247	\$152,874	0.000%	2012
Stevens Point, City of*	4915-03	78	07/13/11	\$11,812,597	\$10,964,228	2.200%	2012
Stratford, Village of*	5290-02	542	06/27/12	\$387,055	\$314,834	2.200%	2012
Three Lakes SD #1*	5441-02	92	09/28/11	\$517,621	\$515,383	1.320%	2012
Waupaca, City of	4929-02	71	06/13/12	\$278,226	\$278,226	1.320%	2012
Wiot SD #1	5442-01	100	12/14/11	\$234,373	\$74,096	0.000%	2012

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
<b>SFY 2012 Totals:</b>				<b>\$59,755,297</b>	<b>\$36,428,607</b>		
Allouez, Village of *	5325-01	50	12/26/12	\$2,818,337	\$2,420,215	1.925%	2013
Alma Center, Village of *	5330-02	42	11/28/12	\$1,211,611	\$622,848	1.925%	2013
Barron, City of	5473-01	66	06/12/13	\$465,917	\$139,776	PF Only	2013
Bayfield, City of *	5385-02	97	06/26/13	\$2,701,672	\$278,990	1.155%	2013
Belgium, Village of*	4765-04	33	03/13/13	\$1,946,272	\$1,155,093	1.925%	2013
Bluffview SD	5487-01	538/88	03/27/13	\$753,361	\$694,598	1.155%	2013
Cottage Grove, Village of *	5515-01	38	06/12/13	\$2,840,252	\$2,646,556	1.925%	2013
Cumberland, City of	4791-06	66/50	08/22/12	\$987,856	\$477,028	1.320%	2013
Dane, Village of *	5332-01	46	06/12/13	\$1,661,056	\$1,588,837	1.925%	2013
Fairchild, Village of	5053-02	96	06/26/13	\$1,872,906	\$500,000	PF Only	2013
Friesland, Village of *	4808-04	338/0	06/12/13	\$721,363	\$463,944	1.925%	2013
Greendale, Village of *	5491-01	28	06/26/13	\$4,510,134	\$4,279,516	1.925%	2013
Greenwood, City of	5246-02	556	06/12/13	\$1,724,485	\$1,724,482	1.925%	2013
Hawkins, Village of	5507-01	97	06/12/13	\$438,812	\$203,783	1.155%	2013
Hillsboro, City of *	5492-01	87	06/12/13	\$1,492,574	\$991,045	1.155%	2013
Jefferson, City of *	5463-01	126	12/12/12	\$1,770,950	\$1,650,290	1.925%	2013
Junction City, Village of	4989-02	81/77	06/26/13	\$1,463,309	\$1,102,221	1.155%	2013
Ladysmith, City of *	5369-03	209	06/26/13	\$4,708,509	\$4,681,935	1.155%	2013
Livingston, Village of	5248-03	42	11/14/12	\$708,713	\$104,175	PF Only	2013
Luck, Village of	5486-02	92	06/26/13	\$714,808	\$544,888	1.155%	2013
Marshall, Village of *	5298-01	52	05/08/13	\$1,190,276	\$552,477	1.925%	2013
Menasha, City of *	4845-09	51	07/25/12	\$1,418,312	\$1,379,267	2.200%	2013
Merrill, City of *	5499-01	56	09/26/12	\$2,355,517	\$1,980,938	1.925%	2013
Merrillan, Village of	5445-02	84	06/12/13	\$2,662,109	\$140,577	1.155%	2013
Morrisonville, SD #1 *	5341-02	397	06/12/13	\$1,054,634	\$1,032,103	1.155%	2013
Oak Creek, City of**	4869-04	38	05/08/13	\$2,886,893	\$2,883,485	1.925%	2013
Park Falls, City of *	5249-03	54	06/26/13	\$1,008,691	\$831,056	1.155%	2013
Pepin, Village of	5495-01	83	05/22/13	\$561,760	\$561,760	1.155%	2013
Pittsville, City of *	4879-04	92	05/08/13	\$1,588,357	\$1,133,962	1.925%	2013
Randolph, Village of *	4888-01	107	05/08/13	\$1,223,157	\$960,308	1.925%	2013
Saint Nazianz, Village of *	5431-02	56	07/25/12	\$1,512,353	\$881,881	2.200%	2013
Seymour, City of**	5211-03	116	07/11/12	\$2,129,615	\$1,598,360	2.200%	2013
South Wayne, Village of	5435-01	142	11/28/12	\$542,410	\$133,205	PF Only	2013
Stevens Point, City of	4915-02	50	06/12/13	\$1,855,329	\$60,111	PF Only	2013
Waukesha, City of	4928-04	38	05/22/13	\$1,241,779	\$1,241,779	1.925%	2013
Webster, Village of *	5517-01	105	06/26/13	\$871,525	\$749,654	1.155%	2013
Wrightstown, Village of	4942-03	46	06/12/13	\$1,438,421	\$1,438,421	1.925%	2013
Wrightstown SD #1 *	5380-04	34	12/26/12	\$1,400,686	\$466,111	1.925%	2013
<b>SFY 2013 Totals:</b>				<b>\$62,454,721</b>	<b>\$44,295,675</b>		
Bayfield, City of *	5385-03	101	06/25/14	\$756,376	\$629,578	1.155%	2014
Cameron, Village of *	5054-03	73/71	05/28/14	\$1,522,192	\$1,372,236	1.155%	2014
Dallas, Village of *	5504-01	92	06/25/14	\$1,160,696	\$744,482	1.155%	2014
Dorchester, Village of	5066-03	72	10/09/13	\$607,027	\$364,931	1.155%	2014
Fountain City, City of *	5116-02	323/319/319	05/28/14	\$902,239	\$594,858	1.925%	2014
Friesland, Village of *	4808-05	38	06/11/14	\$476,021	\$234,057	1.925%	2014
Greendale, Village of	5491-02	32	05/28/14	\$711,888	\$711,888	1.925%	2014
Hancock, Village of *	5190-01	96/82/78	06/25/14	\$1,340,981	\$833,739	1.155%	2014
Highland, Village of *	4818-02	41	12/11/13	\$384,160	\$225,392	1.925%	2014
Kendall, Village of *	5398-03	110	05/28/14	\$1,088,456	\$493,894	1.155%	2014

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Lake Delton, Village of *	5389-01	62/62	06/11/14	\$4,810,471	\$4,294,827	1.155%	2014
Milwaukee, City of *	4851-08	33	12/26/13	\$8,898,900	\$6,221,748	1.925%	2014
New Auburn, City of *	5202-02	42	04/23/14	\$478,603	\$352,886	1.925%	2014
New Holstein, City of *	5366-01	38	03/12/14	\$1,049,050	\$670,966	1.925%	2014
Oak Creek, City of *	4869-05	38/38/38	05/28/14	\$5,501,070	\$4,850,987	1.925%	2014
Prairie du Chien, City of *	5163-06	61	06/25/14	\$9,519,976	\$361,589	1.155%	2014
Readstown, Village of	5523-01	101	05/14/14	\$1,003,894	\$15,257	PF Only	2014
Rhineland, City of	5288-04	58	11/27/13	\$7,015,379	\$1,026,552	1.155%	2014
Shell Lake, City of *	5522-01	73	06/25/14	\$1,958,909	\$697,840	1.155%	2014
South Wayne, Village of *	5435-04	90	06/25/14	\$1,004,192	\$272,596	PF Only	2014
Spencer, Village of *	5436-03	334	05/14/14	\$1,095,801	\$1,013,149	1.925%	2014
Turtle Lake, Village of *	5164-07	233/73	06/25/14	\$2,119,302	\$1,286,838	1.155%	2014
Two Rivers, City of *	4920-17	46/42	06/25/14	\$1,851,480	\$400,732	1.925%	2014
Viroqua, City of *	5168-05	72	10/23/13	\$2,005,501	\$1,895,714	1.155%	2014
Withee, Village of *	4940-05	78	08/14/13	\$473,384	\$473,367	1.155%	2014
<b>SFY 2014 Totals:</b>				<b>\$57,735,948</b>	<b>\$30,040,103</b>		
Albany, Village of *	4749-02	23	06/10/15	\$854,131	\$319,216	1.650%	2015
Allouez, Village of *	5325-03	37	06/24/15	\$2,488,152	\$1,887,966	1.650%	2015
Avoca, Village of	4761-03	87	08/27/14	\$700,595	\$233,637	PF Only	2015
Bayfield, City of *	5385-04	92	06/24/15	\$1,048,194	\$815,252	0.990%	2015
Biron, Village of *	5395-02	60	06/24/15	\$2,995,399	\$1,888,523	1.650%	2015
Black River Falls, City of *	5244-03	354	12/10/14	\$984,248	\$940,177	1.155%	2015
Brillion, City of *	5239-04	38	04/08/15	\$1,689,997	\$1,646,100	1.650%	2015
Cassville, Village of *	5469-01	90	12/23/14	\$1,026,798	\$410,102	PF Only	2015
Central Brown Co. WA *	5530-01	43	09/24/14	\$12,958,106	\$10,540,873	1.925%	2015
Colby, City of *	4786-06	81	06/10/15	\$1,135,678	\$1,134,708	0.990%	2015
Elroy, City of *	5379-06	76	12/10/14	\$1,120,514	\$554,403	1.155%	2015
Fall Creek, Village of *	5063-03	242	06/24/15	\$375,994	\$364,002	1.650%	2015
Fredonia, Village of *	5089-03	43	06/24/15	\$965,235	\$835,730	1.650%	2015
Grantsburg, Village of *	5377-04	79	09/24/14	\$989,307	\$862,476	1.155%	2015
Lake Hallie, Village of *	5267-05	42	05/13/15	\$2,527,746	\$2,272,841	1.650%	2015
Lena, Village of *	5464-03	132	10/22/14	\$4,035,288	\$3,178,650	1.925%	2015
Milwaukee, City of *	4851-23	33	10/22/14	\$8,826,335	\$8,046,504	1.925%	2015
Mosinee, City of *	5536-01	142	05/13/15	\$2,497,999	\$2,344,292	1.650%	2015
Mount Hope, Village of	5160-04	87	09/24/14	\$843,979	\$297,002	PF Only	2015
Oconto Falls, City of *	4870-04	86	09/24/14	\$2,885,632	\$1,184,995	1.155%	2015
Orfordville, Village of	5488-01	34	06/10/15	\$1,913,533	\$969,220	1.650%	2015
Racine, City of *	4887-05	52	05/27/15	\$1,931,200	\$1,789,174	1.650%	2015
Rhineland, City of *	5288-06	67	12/23/14	\$918,688	\$881,411	1.155%	2015
Sheboygan, City of *	4901-04	229	05/13/15	\$3,622,030	\$3,491,520	1.650%	2015
Siren, Village of *	4905-02	96/92	05/27/15	\$1,324,884	\$643,224	0.990%	2015
Tennyson, Village of	5509-01	24	06/10/15	\$804,086	\$159,914	1.650%	2015
Thorp, City of	4922-05	78/78	05/27/15	\$903,748	\$867,061	0.990%	2015
Two Rivers, City of *	4920-19	29	11/12/14	\$327,867	\$301,465	1.925%	2015
Whitehall, City of	4937-03	68/64	06/24/15	\$1,788,158	\$1,788,158	0.990%	2015
Wrightstown, Village of *	4942-04	60	06/24/15	\$7,877,797	\$6,758,176	1.650%	2015
<b>SFY 2015 Totals:</b>				<b>\$72,361,318</b>	<b>\$57,406,772</b>		
Black Earth, Village of	5484-01	29	06/22/16	\$2,406,987	\$1,248,397	1.650%	2016
Cottage Grove, Village of *	5515-04	34	12/23/15	\$730,296	\$716,958	1.788%	2016
Cross Plains, Village of	5537-01	34	09/23/15	\$11,277,731	\$1,491,359	1.788%	2016

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Curtiss, Village of *	4792-04	78	04/13/16	\$1,355,073	\$1,028,883	0.990%	2016
<b>Elkhorn, Village of *</b>	<b>5525-02</b>	77	06/08/16	\$8,599,853	\$8,225,003	1.650%	2016
Granton, Village of *	5051-05	83/75/68	06/22/16	\$650,470	\$374,720	0.990%	2016
Mayville, City of *	5443-03	24	12/23/15	\$1,174,606	\$586,559	1.788%	2016
Mayville, City of *	5443-04	42	06/22/16	\$1,511,127	\$342,104	1.650%	2016
Mineral Point, City of *	5446-03	54	12/23/15	\$892,437	\$696,781	1.788%	2016
New Richmond, City of *	4861-05	30	05/25/16	\$427,457	\$387,619	1.650%	2016
Onalaska, City of *	5540-01	56	04/13/16	\$3,282,536	\$3,036,089	1.650%	2016
Park Falls, City of	5249-05	77	05/25/16	\$3,040,158	\$1,453,244	0.990%	2016
Princeton, City of	5427-02	78	06/22/16	\$1,386,084	\$563,624	0.990%	2016
Rhineland, City of *	5288-08	67	05/25/16	\$9,840,036	\$2,602,430	0.990%	2016
Rice Lake, City of *	5513-04	85	05/11/16	\$1,108,803	\$933,159	0.990%	2016
Tomah, City of *	4921-09	64	06/22/16	\$1,133,895	\$1,040,179	0.990%	2016
<b>SFY 2016 Totals:</b>				<b>\$48,817,549</b>	<b>\$24,727,108</b>		
Antigo, City of	4754-04	20	05/10/17	\$303,392	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Ashland, City of	4759-11	10	04/12/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Bayfield, City of	5385-05	83	06/28/17	\$1,145,172	\$206,180	PF Only	2017
Bowler, Village of *	5112-02	92	05/24/17	\$448,048	\$358,481	1.122%	2017
Browtown, Village of *	5056-01	41	06/28/17	\$831,473	\$405,599	1.870%	2017
Burlington, City of *	5475-03	93	06/28/17	\$1,054,675	\$965,519	1.870%	2017
Cameron, Village of *	5054-05	33	01/25/17	\$966,929	\$71,668	1.540%	2017
Cashton, Village of *	5156-05	28	06/28/17	\$2,542,248	\$485,449	1.870%	2017
Clintonville, City of	5282-04	25	03/08/17	\$310,000	\$310,000	PF Only	2017
Columbus, City of	5188-02	0	05/24/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Cottage Grove, Village of *	5515-03	66	12/28/16	\$1,122,400	\$1,072,280	1.540%	2017
Cudahy, City of	4790-03	0	04/26/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Curtiss, Village of *	4792-05	83/79	06/28/17	\$1,571,002	\$1,064,642	1.122%	2017
Eagle River, City of	5383-05	69	06/28/17	\$382,097	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Eau Claire, City of	5449-03	0	04/26/17	\$500,000	\$500,000	PF Only	2017
Elkhart Lake, Village of	4801-02	0	06/14/17	\$190,000	\$190,000	PF Only	2017
Elkhorn, Village of *	5525-03	30	06/14/17	\$849,261	\$759,195	1.870%	2017
Florence, Town of	5456-07	0	03/08/17	\$325,000	\$325,000	PF Only	2017
Fond du Lac, City of	5142-10	29	06/14/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Glenwood City, City of *	5551-01	87	06/28/17	\$1,285,993	\$833,130	1.122%	2017
Green Bay, City of *	5331-27	34	12/14/16	\$500,000	\$482,680	PF Only	2017
Janesville, City of	5119-05	0	06/14/17	\$500,000	\$500,000	PF Only	2017
Jefferson, City of	5463-02	0	06/14/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Lake Mills, City of	4828-04	0	06/28/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Manitowoc, City of *	5191-07	165	12/14/16	\$453,048	\$403,493	1.540%	2017
Manitowoc, City of	5191-08	0	06/14/17	\$350,000	\$350,000	PF Only	2017
Markesan, City of *	5122-02	68	06/14/17	\$3,065,747	\$1,007,069	1.122%	2017
Marshfield, City of	5364-02	0	06/28/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Menasha, City of	4845-10	0	04/12/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Milwaukee, City of *	4851-26	29/25	01/25/17	\$18,167,178	\$15,290,562	1.540%	2017
Milwaukee, City of	4851-27	25/19	06/28/17	\$7,027,527	\$6,936,616	1.870%	2017
Milwaukee, City of	4851-30	0	06/28/17	\$8,728,595	\$2,600,000	PF Only	2017
Monroe, City of	4852-08	0	06/14/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Mosinee, City of	5536-02	0	03/22/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
<b>New Berlin, City of *</b>	<b>4859-05</b>	28	06/28/17	\$1,710,472	\$1,206,457	1.870%	2017
New Lisbon, City of *	5450-03	102	06/28/17	\$2,027,600	\$1,381,744	1.122%	2017

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Oshkosh, City of	4874-10	0	06/28/17	\$500,000	\$500,000	PF Only	2017
Park Falls, City of	5249-04	87	06/28/17	\$2,564,378	\$1,211,251	1.122%	2017
Phillips, City of *	4878-02	392	06/14/17	\$1,994,891	\$1,373,207	1.122%	2017
Platteville, City of	4881-06	0	06/14/17	\$310,000	\$310,000	PF Only	2017
Princeton, City of	5427-03	40	05/10/17	\$311,232	\$305,000	PF Only	2017
Racine, City of	4887-08	29	03/08/17	\$500,000	\$500,000	PF Only	2017
Radisson, Village of	5535-01	332	06/28/17	\$1,755,132	\$597,708	1.122%	2017
Randolph, Village of	4888-04	0	04/26/17	\$301,850	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Random Lake, Village of *	4889-06	33	03/22/17	\$809,299	\$774,521	1.870%	2017
Rothschild, Village of *	4896-10	38	06/14/17	\$857,222	\$367,703	1.870%	2017
Saint Croix Falls, City of *	5430-03	53	06/14/17	\$2,762,115	\$1,084,390	1.122%	2017
Saint Francis, City of	5556-01	0	06/28/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Sheboygan, City of	4901-06	24	06/14/17	\$345,350	\$335,000	PF Only	2017
Shullsburg, City of *	5493-04	67	06/14/17	\$1,353,274	\$747,217	1.122%	2017
South Wayne, Village of *	5435-05	92	06/28/17	\$1,525,192	\$976,075	1.122%	2017
Stratford, Village of	5290-05	0	04/12/17	\$140,750	\$140,750	PF Only	2017
Sturgeon Bay, City of	5557-04	0	06/28/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Two Rivers, City of	4920-13	33	06/28/17	\$5,507,838	\$918,669	1.870%	2017
Two Rivers, City of	4920-27	0	06/28/17	\$920,186	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
Waterloo, City of	4962-02	0	06/28/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
<b>Watertown, City of *</b>	<b>5439-02</b>	<b>57/29</b>	<b>05/10/17</b>	<b>\$10,757,910</b>	<b>\$10,502,499</b>	<b>1.870%</b>	<b>2017</b>
Wausau, City of	4930-06	0	06/14/17	\$375,600	\$375,600	PF Only	2017
West Allis, City of	5404-05	0	06/14/17	\$667,042	\$500,000	PF Only	2017
West Milwaukee, City of	5558-01	25	06/14/17	\$205,440	\$140,025	PF Only	2017
Westby, City of *	5440-03	46	06/14/17	\$961,197	\$407,621	1.870%	2017
Whiting, Village of *	5388-03	150	06/14/17	\$148,770	\$138,015	1.870%	2017
Wisconsin Rapids, City of	5510-03	0	06/14/17	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2017
<b>SFY 2017 Totals:</b>				<b>\$96,132,525</b>	<b>\$65,311,015</b>		
Antigo, City of	4754-05	59	02/28/18	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2018
Arcadia, City of	4756-06	85	05/23/18	\$4,276,622	\$3,774,122	1.120%	2018
Ashland, City of	4759-12	39	02/28/18	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2018
Baraboo, City of	4762-02	34	06/13/18	\$250,000	\$250,000	PF Only	2018
Belleville, Village of	5405-03	32	06/27/18	\$1,490,842	\$1,490,842	1.870%	2018
Chaseburg, Village of	5306-03	98	06/13/18	\$1,022,296	\$1,022,296	1.870%	2018
Clintonville, City of	5282-05	59	05/09/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Cross Plains, Village of	5537-02	25	05/23/18	\$4,364,337	\$1,788,706	1.870%	2018
Dorchester, Village of *	5066-06	33	05/23/18	\$1,177,203	\$211,209	1.870%	2018
Eagle River, City of	5383-06	69	06/13/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Eau Claire, City of	5449-04	34	06/13/18	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2018
Ellsworth, Village of *	5283-06	136	06/13/18	\$408,852	\$376,915	1.870%	2018
Fall Creek, Village of	5063-05	34	06/27/18	\$1,074,271	\$1,074,271	1.870%	2018
Fond du Lac, City of	5142-11	29	05/09/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Green Bay, City of	5331-28	34	12/27/17	\$300,000	\$268,325	PF Only	2018
Greenwood, City of	5246-03	54/54	06/27/18	\$2,688,338	\$1,271,256	1.120%	2018
Hancock, Village of *	5190-04	88	06/13/18	\$1,486,542	\$437,929	1.120%	2018
Horicon, City of *	5318-06	29	05/09/18	\$2,319,513	\$698,644	1.870%	2018
Hudson, City of *	5563-01	62	12/13/17	\$2,931,120	\$1,792,013	1.760%	2018
Jefferson, City of	5463-03	24	05/23/18	\$150,000	\$150,000	PF Only	2018
Junction City, Village of *	4989-05	402/70/70	06/27/18	\$1,307,135	\$721,347	1.120%	2018
Ladysmith, City of *	5369-04	48	12/27/17	\$1,163,491	\$484,796	1.760%	2018

**Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years**

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Lake Delton, Village of	5389-02	91	06/27/18	\$2,003,499	\$1,963,499	1.120%	2018
Little Chute, Village of *	5361-03	42	12/13/17	\$1,306,472	\$1,235,500	1.760%	2018
Lone Rock, Village of *	5465-03	35	06/13/18	\$1,284,097	\$623,100	1.120%	2018
Manitowoc, City of	5191-09	24	04/25/18	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2018
Markesan, City of	5122-03	69	06/27/18	\$185,000	\$185,000	PF Only	2018
Marshfield, City of	5364-03	34	05/09/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Mayville, City of	5443-05	42	06/13/18	\$5,507,071	\$877,098	1.870%	2018
Menasha, City of	4845-11	29	02/28/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Milwaukee, City of	4851-31	19	06/27/18	\$4,001,226	\$4,001,226	PF Only	2018
Milwaukee, City of	4851-32	19	05/23/18	\$13,328,519	\$12,706,234	1.870%	2018
Mosinee, City of	5536-03	29	03/28/18	\$150,000	\$150,000	PF Only	2018
New Berlin, City of *	4859-07	28	05/23/18	\$1,288,645	\$774,620	1.870%	2018
No. Fond du Lac, Village of	4863-08	34	04/25/18	\$100,000	\$100,000	PF Only	2018
Ontario, Village of	5453-01	102	06/27/18	\$652,305	\$522,305	1.120%	2018
Oshkosh, City of	4874-11	25	06/13/18	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2018
Platteville, City of	4881-07	24	06/27/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Racine, City of	4887-09	34	06/27/18	\$1,381,863	\$1,381,863	PF Only	2018
Redgranite, Village of	4890-02	82/70	06/27/18	\$1,415,871	\$893,921	1.120%	2018
Reeseville, Village of	4891-02	102/82	05/09/18	\$1,439,218	\$491,305	1.120%	2018
Saint Francis, City of	5556-02	0	05/09/18	\$150,000	\$150,000	PF Only	2018
Schofield, City of	5565-01	34	05/09/18	\$150,000	\$150,000	PF Only	2018
Sheboygan, City of	4901-07	24	06/13/18	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2018
South Milwaukee, City of	4907-03	37	06/27/18	\$7,030,090	\$7,030,090	1.870%	2018
Spring Valley, Village of	5555-02	86	06/27/18	\$1,082,682	\$1,082,682	1.870%	2018
Stratford, Village of *	5290-04	42	12/13/17	\$1,865,853	\$871,776	1.760%	2018
Thorp, City of	4922-10	69	06/27/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Tomah, City of *	4921-02	39	06/27/18	\$888,178	\$791,475	1.120%	2018
Two Rivers, City of	4920-21	33/33/29	06/13/18	\$2,392,324	\$896,664	1.870%	2018
Two Rivers, City of	4920-32	29	06/27/18	\$500,000	\$500,000	PF Only	2018
Viroqua, City of	5168-09	20	06/13/18	\$200,000	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Waukesha, City of *	7000-02	239	06/27/18	\$2,554,576	\$679,774	1.870%	2018
Waupaca, City of	4929-04	48	02/14/18	\$231,097	\$200,000	PF Only	2018
Wausau, City of	4930-07	24	06/27/18	\$300,000	\$300,000	PF Only	2018
West Allis, City of	5404-06	29	06/27/18	\$1,925,780	\$1,358,421	PF Only	2018
West Milwaukee, Village of	5558-02	25	06/13/18	\$500,000	\$500,000	PF Only	2018
<b>SFY 2018 Totals:</b>				<b>\$83,424,928</b>	<b>\$59,629,224</b>		
Alma Center, Village of	5330-01	77	06/26/19	\$1,018,808	\$1,018,808	1.188%	2019
Bloomington, Village of	5065-02	76	05/08/19	\$1,590,836	\$982,861	1.188%	2019
Bruce, Village of	5545-01	92	06/26/19	\$876,781	\$649,487	1.188%	2019
Chetek, City of	4781-05	81	06/26/19	\$583,284	\$583,284	1.188%	2019
Cobb, Village of	5264-02	62	05/22/19	\$938,940	\$543,815	1.980%	2019
Colby, City of	4786-09	192/92	05/22/19	\$807,387	\$389,387	1.188%	2019
Dane, Village of	5332-02	57	12/26/18	\$885,250	\$740,353	1.870%	2019
Grantsburg, Village of *	5377-05	74	12/26/18	\$387,202	\$345,180	1.122%	2019
Highland, Village of	4818-03	72	06/12/19	\$869,957	\$440,012	1.188%	2019
Ladysmith, City of	5369-06	63/68	06/26/19	\$2,846,382	\$1,313,529	1.188%	2019
Lomira, Village of	5312-06	81	06/26/19	\$2,206,150	\$2,196,150	1.980%	2019
Lyndon Station, Village of	5359-03	83	06/12/19	\$855,710	\$855,710	1.188%	2019
Mayville, City of (Amend)	5443-05	42	06/26/19	\$92,206	\$92,206	1.870%	2019
<b>Milwaukee, City of</b>	<b>4851-35</b>	19	06/26/19	<b>\$16,446,947</b>	<b>\$16,210,551</b>	1.980%	2019

Table 2: Loan/Amendment Information - All Years

Municipality	Project Number	Priority Score(s)	Binding Comm.	Project Total	FAA Total	Interest Rate	SFY Funded
Necedah, Village of	5201-05	58	12/26/18	\$1,145,025	\$309,029	1.122%	2019
<b>New Berlin, City of</b>	<b>4859-08</b>	27	06/26/19	\$1,660,834	\$1,206,314	1.980%	2019
Omro, City of	5091-03	81	06/26/19	\$1,445,796	\$1,445,796	1.980%	2019
Plover, Village of	4882-04	146	06/26/19	\$3,130,291	\$3,130,291	1.980%	2019
Rhineland, City of	5288-12	67/58	06/26/19	\$18,676,282	\$5,677,375	1.188%	2019
Richland Center, City of	4893-05	63	06/26/19	\$2,536,797	\$2,536,797	1.188%	2019
Rock Springs, Village of	5428-02	29	05/22/19	\$1,229,306	\$329,322	1.980%	2019
Somerset, Village of	5498-03	58	06/12/19	\$1,653,266	\$1,141,266	1.980%	2019
Thorp, City of	4922-12	84	06/26/19	\$1,028,898	\$552,973	1.188%	2019
Three Lakes SD #1	5441-03	68	12/12/18	\$1,414,151	\$411,530	1.122%	2019
Tomahawk, City of	5342-03	445	05/22/19	\$1,329,746	\$1,329,746	1.188%	2019
Two Rivers, City of	4920-33	107/99	06/26/19	\$1,978,265	\$789,090	1.980%	2019
<b>Waukesha, City of *</b>	<b>7000-03</b>	254/254	03/27/19	\$12,446,498	\$8,863,261	1.980%	2019
<b>SFY 2019 Totals:</b>				<b>\$80,080,995</b>	<b>\$54,084,123</b>		
<b>Cumulative Totals:</b>				<b>\$1,051,777,505</b>	<b>\$734,471,173</b>		
** loan amount amended	* loan total changed to reflect balance at closeout						
Starting with SFY 2016, projects in <b>Bold</b> are designated as Federal Equivalency							

**Table 3: Project Information - SFY 2019**

Municipality	Project Number	Loan Amount	Prin. Forg. Amount	Project Description	Population	County	Disadv. Muni.?	Grant Req. FFY	Construction Start	Construction Finish
Alma Center, Village of	5330-01	\$518,808	\$500,000	Construct pumphouse at well #2 & connecting main	501	Jackson	Y	2018	Jun-19	May-20
Bloomington, Village of	5065-02	\$540,573	\$442,288	Watermain replacements on Fourth St, Front St, Union St, & Canal St	734	Grant	Y	2017/2018	May-18	Nov-18
Bruce, Village of	5545-01	\$259,794	\$389,693	Demolition & replacement of wellhouse #1 plus connecting main	767	Rusk	Y	2018	Apr-19	Aug-19
Chetek, City of	4781-05	\$320,806	\$262,478	Watermain replacement & addition of hydrants on Banks & Pine Sts., plus additional crossing under the Chetek River on CTH SS.	2,181	Barron	Y	2018	Oct-18	Sep-19
Cobb, Village of	5264-02	\$543,815	\$0	Construction of well #4, including pumphouse, chemical addition & connecting main	467	Iowa	N	2018	Sep-18	Jul-19
Colby, City of	4786-09	\$214,162	\$175,225	Transmission of water from wells 9 & 12 to water treatment plant for new nitrate treatment; addition of uranium removal system at well 14; and process control & SCADA upgrades.	1,800	Clark	Y	2017	Dec-18	Nov-19
Dane, Village of	5332-02	\$740,353	\$0	High Street watermain replacement and construction of watermain loop in Railroad St corridor.	1,099	Dane	N	NA	Aug-18	Jul-19
Grantsburg, Village of	5377-05	\$144,922	\$217,385	Replace watermain & lead services on Wisconsin Ave from Oak St to Brad St	1,317	Burnett	Y	2017	Aug-18	Oct-18
Highland, Village of	4818-03	\$416,638	\$23,374	Watermain replacements on Prospect St, Isabell Ct, & in two village easements	837	Iowa	Y	2018	Apr-18	May-19
Ladysmith, City of	5369-06	\$1,313,529	\$0	Watermain replacements on Fritz, Worden, & Summit Aves.	3,357	Rusk	Y	NA	May-19	Sep-19
Lomira, Village of	5312-06	\$1,696,150	\$500,000	Construction of booster station to create new High pressure Zone & provide service to OTM system within village limits. Project includes new building, pumps, piping, chemical addition, SCADA modifications & auxiliary power.	2,491	Dodge	Y	2018	Oct-18	Jun-20
Lyndon Station, Village of	5359-03	\$355,710	\$500,000	Construction of well #3 & abandonment of well #1. Includes construction of pumphouse, chemical addition, new emergency generator, SCADA, & connecting main.	487	Juneau	Y	2018	Jul-19	May-20
Mayville, City of (Amend)	5443-05	\$92,206	\$0	Watermain replacements on STH 28/67	5,063	Dodge	N	NA	Mar-18	Nov-18
<b>Milwaukee, City of</b>	<b>4851-35</b>	\$16,210,551	\$0	2018 Watermain replacements	594,666	Milwaukee	N	2017/2018	Jun-17	Dec-19
Necedah, Village of	5201-05	\$309,029	\$0	Watermain replacements and looping.	916	Juneau	Y	NA	Jun-18	Sep-18
<b>New Berlin, City of</b>	<b>4859-08</b>	\$1,206,314	\$0	Replace aging watermains in Greenridge neighborhood	40,349	Waukesha	N	2018	Apr-19	Oct-19
Omro, City of	5091-03	\$1,012,057	\$433,739	Construction of well #3, including wellhouse, treatment, auxiliary power, and connecting watermain.	3,559	Winnebago	Y	2018	Oct-19	Sep-20
Plover, Village of	4882-04	\$3,130,291	\$0	Upgrade to water treatment plant including replacement of anion exchange nitrate removal system; rehab of well #2	12,671	Portage	N	NA	Feb-19	Feb-20
Rhineland, City of	5288-12	\$5,677,375	\$0	Replacement of aging watermains	7,805	Oneida	Y	NA	Jul-18	Jul-21
Richland Center, City of	4893-05	\$2,536,797	\$0	Construction of a new 500,000 gallon ground storage reservoir, including SCADA & a transmission main.	5,118	Richland	Y	NA	Oct-18	Aug-19

**Table 3: Project Information - SFY 2019**

Municipality	Project Number	Loan Amount	Prin. Forg. Amount	Project Description	Population	County	Disadv. Muni.?	Grant Req. FFY	Construction Start	Construction Finish
Rock Springs, Village of	5428-02	\$329,322	\$0	Watermain replacements on Montgomery, Hill, Smythe & Bender Sts.	304	Sauk	N	NA	Jun-18	Oct-18
Somerset, Village of	5498-03	\$1,141,266	\$0	Construction of new well & wellhouse #5 along with abandonment of well #4. Includes emergency generator, chemical feed, SCADA, and connecting water mains.	2,854	St. Croix	N	NA	Sep-18	May-20
Thorp, City of	4922-12	\$304,135	\$248,838	Construction of addition to the water treatment plant to house new pressure filters for the removal of iron & manganese.	1,611	Clark	Y	2018	Mar-19	Oct-19
Three Lakes SD #1	5441-03	\$411,530	\$0	Watermain replacements primarily along CTH A	500	Oneida	Y	NA	Jul-18	Jul-19
Tomahawk, City of	5342-03	\$877,790	\$451,956	Upgrades to wellhouses #4 & #5, including the addition of a new emergency generator	3,322	Lincoln	Y	2017/2018	Apr-19	Oct-19
Two Rivers, City of	4920-33	\$552,363	\$236,727	Replacement of water mains and the public portion of services, including lead service lines	11,446	Manitowoc	Y	2018	Apr-19	Oct-19
<b>Waukesha, City of</b>	<b>7000-03</b>	<b>\$9,201,816</b>	<b>\$0</b>	Watermain replacements at various locations & addition of an underground RR crossing	72,043	Waukesha	N	2016/2017	Sep-17	Aug-18
<b>Totals:</b>		<b>\$50,058,102</b>	<b>\$4,381,703</b>							

**Table 4: Set-Aside Accounts Status as of 6/30/19**

Set-Aside Account	Funds Awarded As of 6/30/19	% of Cap Grants Awarded to Date	Funds Expended As of 6/30/19	Funds Remaining As of 6/30/19
SRF Administration	\$13,077,628	3.28%	\$12,959,392	\$118,236
Source Water Protection	\$3,737,925	0.94%	\$3,737,925	\$0
Wellhead Protection	\$4,659,727	1.17%	\$4,396,438	\$263,289
Local Assist. - Capacity Dev.	\$9,458,733	2.37%	\$8,867,499	\$591,234
State Program Management *	\$22,007,102	5.52%	\$21,789,917	\$217,185
SS Technical Assistance	\$6,237,812	1.57%	\$5,949,471	\$288,341
	<b>\$59,178,927</b>	<b>14.86%</b>	<b>\$57,700,642</b>	<b>\$1,478,285</b>

\$657,712 was transferred from SRF Administration to the loan fund during SFY 2008.

\$3,375,498 was transferred from State Prog. Mgmt. to the loan fund during SFY 2008; \$990,906 during SFY 2012; \$1,164,501 during SFY 2013; and \$1,190,175 during SFY 2014.

\$500,000 was transferred from Local Assist. - Capacity Dev. to the loan fund during SFY 2014.

\$416,715 was transferred from SWP to WHP in April 2003.

\* PWSS Administration, Capacity Development, and Operator Certification have all been combined under the State Program Management set-aside.

**Table 5: Balance of Federal Capitalization Grant Funds as of 6/30/19**

Capitalization Grant Year	Total Amount	Project Cost Draws	Set-Aside Draws #	Remaining Balance
FFY 1997/98	\$51,094,800	\$42,754,472	\$8,340,328	\$0
FFY 1999	\$10,007,600	\$9,607,296	\$400,304	\$0
FFY 2000	\$10,400,800	\$8,736,672	\$1,664,128	\$0
FFY 2001	\$10,443,800	\$8,772,792	\$1,671,008	\$0
FFY 2002	\$15,946,500	\$15,198,310	\$748,190	\$0
FFY 2003	\$15,850,700	\$12,994,870	\$2,855,830	\$0
FFY 2004	\$16,442,800	\$15,283,944	\$1,158,856	\$0
FFY 2005	\$16,407,900	\$13,731,940	\$2,675,960	\$0
FFY 2006	\$15,931,300	\$14,716,109	\$1,215,191	\$0
FFY 2007	\$15,931,000	\$14,181,903	\$1,749,097	\$0
FFY 2008	\$15,770,000	\$14,082,231	\$1,687,769	\$0
FFY 2009	\$15,770,000	\$12,962,148	\$2,807,852	\$0
ARRA FFY 2009	\$37,750,000	\$37,750,000	\$0	\$0
FFY 2010	\$23,399,000	\$21,245,438	\$2,153,562	\$0
FFY 2011	\$18,433,704	\$15,301,951	\$3,131,753	\$0
FFY 2012	\$15,680,959	\$14,349,119	\$1,331,840	\$0
FFY 2013	\$14,518,000	\$10,969,419	\$3,548,581	\$0
FFY 2014	\$15,425,000	\$12,064,237	\$3,360,763	\$0
FFY 2015	\$15,323,000	\$10,945,372	\$4,377,628	\$0
FFY 2016	\$14,496,000	\$10,172,242	\$4,323,758	\$0
FFY 2017	\$14,372,000	\$9,495,792	\$4,633,418	\$242,790
FFY 2018	\$18,931,000	\$13,830,680	\$1,836,799	\$3,263,521
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>\$398,325,863</b>	<b>\$339,146,937</b>	<b>\$55,672,615</b>	<b>\$3,506,311</b>

# Note that an additional \$2,028,026 in set-aside costs had been incurred but not yet drawn as of 6/30/19.

**Table 6: Other Funds Available in the DWSRF**

Type of Funds	Previous Total	Received SFY 2019	Cumulative Total
State Match Funds	\$68,328,973	\$3,786,200	\$72,115,173
Interest on Investments	\$14,403,812	\$2,617,078	\$17,020,890
Transfers from the CWSRF	\$23,596,056	\$0	\$23,596,056
Principal/Interest Repayments	\$315,280,709	\$32,594,835	\$347,875,544
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>\$421,609,550</b>	<b>\$38,998,113</b>	<b>\$460,607,663</b>

**Table 7**

## Drinking Water SRF Reporting Additional Subsidy or GPR for Wisconsin - 2017 Cap Grant

Report Generated: 10/18/2019 -- Data Last Modified: 10/17/2019 5:43:00 PM

Tracking Number	Recipient	1st Agreement Date in Selected Period	Assistance Amount	Grant Amount	Negative Interest Amount	Principal Forgiveness Amount	Recipient Could Afford Project without Subsidy?	Population Served	GPR Amount
4756-06	Arcadia, City of	5/23/2018	3,774,122	0	0	197,380	<input type="checkbox"/>	2,400	0
4786-09	Colby, City of	5/22/2019	389,387	0	0	175,225	<input type="checkbox"/>	1,600	0
4890-02	Redgranite, Village of	6/27/2018	893,921	0	0	469,359	<input type="checkbox"/>	2,019	0
4891-02	Reeseville, Village of	5/9/2018	491,305	0	0	279,780	<input type="checkbox"/>	712	322,944
4989-05	Junction City, Village of	6/27/2018	721,347	0	0	407,517	<input type="checkbox"/>	440	0
5063-05	Fall Creek, Village of	6/27/2018	1,074,271	0	0	322,282	<input type="checkbox"/>	1,302	0
5065-02	Bloomington, Village of	5/8/2019	982,861	0	0	442,288	<input type="checkbox"/>	734	0
5190-04	Hancock, Village of	6/13/2018	437,929	0	0	282,413	<input type="checkbox"/>	406	0
5283-06	Ellsworth, Village of	6/13/2018	376,915	0	0	122,656	<input type="checkbox"/>	3,282	0
5306-03	Chaseburg, Village of	6/13/2018	1,022,296	0	0	306,689	<input type="checkbox"/>	288	512,450
5342-03	Tomahawk, City of	5/22/2019	1,329,746	0	0	238,773	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0
5377-05	Grantsburg, Village of	12/26/2018	345,179	0	0	217,385	<input type="checkbox"/>	1,317	0
5389-02	Lake Delton, Village of	6/27/2018	1,963,499	0	0	500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	2,906	0
5453-01	Ontario, Village of	6/27/2018	522,305	0	0	373,383	<input type="checkbox"/>	549	0
5465-03	Lone Rock, Village of	6/13/2018	623,100	0	0	340,065	<input type="checkbox"/>	877	403,000
5555-02	Spring Valley, Village of	6/27/2018	1,082,682	0	0	324,805	<input type="checkbox"/>	1,368	0
<b>Total for all 16 Agreements</b>			<b>16,030,865</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,000,000</b>		<b>20,200</b>	<b>1,238,394</b>

**Table 8**

## Drinking Water SRF Reporting Additional Subsidy or GPR for Wisconsin - 2018 Cap Grant

Report Generated: 10/18/2019 -- Data Last Modified: 10/17/2019 5:43:00 PM

Tracking Number	Recipient	1st Agreement Date in Selected Period	Assistance Amount	Grant Amount	Negative Interest Amount	Principal Forgiveness Amount	Recipient Could Afford Project without Subsidy?	Population Served	GPR Amount
4781-05	Chetek, City of	6/26/2019	583,284	0	0	262,478	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0
4818-03	Highland, Village of	6/12/2019	440,012	0	0	23,374	<input type="checkbox"/>	799	230,000
4920-33	Two Rivers, City of	6/26/2019	789,090	0	0	236,727	<input type="checkbox"/>	13,354	0
4922-12	Thorp, City of	6/26/2019	552,973	0	0	248,838	<input type="checkbox"/>	1,565	0
5065-02	Bloomington, Village of	5/8/2019	982,861	0	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	734	294,000
5091-03	Omro, City of	6/26/2019	1,445,796	0	0	433,739	<input type="checkbox"/>	3,358	0
5264-02	Cobb, Village of	5/22/2019	543,815	0	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	467	125,000
5312-06	Lomira, Village of	6/26/2019	2,196,150	0	0	500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0
5330-01	Alma Center, Village of	6/26/2019	1,018,808	0	0	500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	501	0
5342-03	Tomahawk, City of	5/22/2019	1,329,746	0	0	213,183	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	0
5359-03	Lyndon Station, Village of	6/12/2019	855,710	0	0	500,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	474	0
5545-01	Bruce, Village of	6/26/2019	649,487	0	0	389,693	<input type="checkbox"/>	767	0
<b>Total for all 12 Agreements</b>			<b>11,387,732</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,308,032</b>		<b>22,019</b>	<b>649,000</b>